



## Geodynamics and Earth Tides Observations from Global to Micro Scale: Introduction

C. BRAITENBERG,<sup>1</sup> G. ROSSI,<sup>2</sup> J. BOGUSZ,<sup>3</sup> L. CRESCENTINI,<sup>4</sup> D. CROSSLEY,<sup>5</sup> R. GROSS,<sup>6</sup> K. HEKI,<sup>7</sup> J. HINDERER,<sup>8</sup>  
T. JAHR,<sup>9</sup> B. MEURERS,<sup>10</sup> and H. SCHUH<sup>11,12</sup>

The volume collects papers submitted to Pure and Applied Geophysics following a call on the topic “Geodynamics and Earth Tides”. Partly, the authors had participated in the 18th Geodynamics and Earth Tides Symposium held in Trieste, Italy, in June 2016. The Earth tides constitute the leading thread through the book, since instrumentation sensitive enough to observe them, also records a broad spectrum of signals generated by Earth dynamic processes.

The topics discussed belong to space geodesy, terrestrial geodesy, seismology, tectonophysics, hydrology, and geodynamics, demonstrating the interdisciplinarity needed for understanding the observations.

The deepest Earth is studied with the core resonance at diurnal periods, which alters expected amplitude and phase of the diurnal frequencies of the observed Earth tide. The phenomenon is described from observations at surface, allowing conclusions on the core to be taken (Agnew 2017; Bán et al. 2017). The Earth globe yields to the tidal forces through deformation, from which Earth rheology is retrieved (Varga et al. 2017), and which could be a cause of triggering earthquakes (Varga and Grafarend 2017).

The ocean tides produce a change of ocean height driven by the same frequencies of the Earth tides. The changing mass produces a variable load on the crust, which in turn responds isostatically by flexure. Observation of the oceanic load tides with sophisticated geodetic instruments (Ruotsalainen 2017; Virtanen and Raja-Halli 2017) allows to improve the ocean tide models (Amoruso et al. 2017). Even river estuaries can be subject to level variations that must be modeled to correct their effect on these precise instruments (Oreiro et al. 2017). The very sensitive instrumentation as tilt and strain meters and continuously recording gravity meters sense hydrologic flows. The modeling of the induced signals is a complex topic of its own (Weise and Jahr 2017), with applications in hydrology and induced seismicity (Grillo et al. 2018; Vinogradov et al. 2017). Continuous gravity has been made at the sea floor for monitoring a gas field, but many other signals must be taken into account (Rosat et al. 2017). The terrestrial measurements of the time-variable gravity field are analyzed to match the observed with the theoretical tidal gravity field (Yu et al. 2018), with surprising results for co-located instruments (Virtanen and Raja-Halli 2017). The cryogenic gravimeters have highest precision, and require particular attention for checking scale factors and instrumental drift (Crossley et al. 2018).

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Geosciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy. E-mail: berg@units.it

<sup>2</sup> Centro di Ricerche Sismologiche, Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale – OGS, Sgonico (Trieste), Italy. E-mail: grossi@inogs.it

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geodesy, Military University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland. E-mail: janusz.bogusz@wat.edu.pl

<sup>4</sup> Department of Physics “E.R.Caianiello”, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy. E-mail: luca.crescentini@sa.infn.it

<sup>5</sup> Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, Saint Louis University, St. Louis, USA. E-mail: crossley@eas.slu.edu

<sup>6</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA. E-mail: richard.s.gross@jpl.nasa.gov

<sup>7</sup> Department of Natural History Sciences, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan. E-mail: heki@mail.sci.hokudai.ac.jp

<sup>8</sup> University of Strasbourg, EOST/IPG, Strasbourg, France. E-mail: jhinderer@unistra.fr

<sup>9</sup> Institute of Geosciences, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany. E-mail: thomas.jahr@uni-jena.de

<sup>10</sup> Department of Meteorology and Geophysics, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. E-mail: bruno.meurers@univie.ac.at

<sup>11</sup> GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany. E-mail: harald.schuh@gfz-potsdam.de

<sup>12</sup> Institute of Geodesy and Geoinformation Science, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany.

Recent improvements in the development of VLBI (Very Long Baseline Interferometry) and other space geodetic techniques like the global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) require very precise a priori information of short-period Earth rotation variations. Within the work of Karbon et al. (2018), a new model for the short-period ocean tidal variations in Earth rotation is developed with up to 251 partial constituents, based on modern ocean tides models and a reexamined theoretical description. An alternative to the conventional models is found; however, no significant improvement in the geodetic results can be reached.

Space geodetic observations such as GNSS have the drawback of being less sensitive to deformation at local scale, compared to the sophisticated high precision gravity and deformation measurements, but have the advantage of easier installation, reaching dense and global coverage. The studies are concerned with identifying hydrologic and temperature effects, developing modern spatio-temporal analysis methods (Gruszczynski et al. 2018; Gruszczynska et al. 2018; Klos et al. 2017), or identifying non-hydrologic common GNSS transient signals (Rossi et al. 2017).

The deformation at an active volcano (Elbruz, Caucasus) (Milyukov et al. 2017) and for a big earthquake (Gorkha, Nepal) (Morsut et al. 2017), demonstrates the importance of geodetic monitoring in hazard assessment.

In parallel to the Topical Volume in Pure and Applied Geophysics, a special volume in the open access journal *Geodesy and Geodynamics* was arranged, in which all abstracts of the meeting are published, and some selected manuscripts. A review of the meeting (Braitenberg 2018) includes a description of today existing geodetic stations worldwide. Instrumental and software aspects of continuous measurement of gravity with the superconducting (Meurers 2017) and automated Burris gravity meter (Jentsch et al. 2018; Schulz 2017) are discussed. Use of Kalman filter in GNSS network monitoring is demonstrated by (Shults and Annenkov 2017). The detection of pore pressure changes induced by hydrologic pumping is recorded with tilt and strain observations at the geodetic station Moxa (Germany) (Jahr 2017). Hydrology in karstic areas is dominated by hydrologic flows in a macroscopic channel system, which in the classical Karst (Italy–

Slovenia) gives rise to deformations during floods and an impressive river emerging at the foot of the Karst (Braitenberg et al. 2017). Geodynamic thermomechanical modeling of the subduction of the central Andes is presented by (Salomon 2018), while (Hazrati-Kashi et al. 2018) study inversion methods to define slow slip during the preparing phase of a large-scale earthquake at subduction zones.

This volume provides a representative cross-section on the recording, analysis and interpretation of the spectrum of signals generated by Earth dynamic processes. The material is of interest to scientists and students interested in the 4D Earth and keen to learn the latest achievements.

### *Acknowledgements*

The 18th Geodynamics and Earth Tides Symposium held in Trieste, Italy, in June 2016, was scientifically supported by the IAG: Commission 3, the IAG Subcommission 3.1 and International Geodynamics and Earth Tide Service. The University of Trieste and the sponsors of the Symposium, namely the OGS (Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale), the Dipartimento di Fisica E. Caianiello, University of Salerno, the Department of Mathematics and Geosciences of the University of Trieste, Leica Geosystems S.P.A., International Association of Geodesy (3 IAG Travel Awards for young scientists), the European Geosciences Union (support to 8 young scientists), the Rector Maurizio Fermaglia of the University of Trieste and the President Maria Cristina Pedicchio of OGS, are gratefully acknowledged for supporting this event. We thank the organizational Secretariat “The Office” (<http://www.theoffice.it/>) for perfect assistance in all phases of the Symposium.

### REFERENCES

- Agnew, D. C. (2017). An improbable observation of the diurnal core resonance. *Pure Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1522-1>.
- Amoruso, A., Crescentini, L., Bayo, A., Fernández Royo, S., & Luongo, A. (2017). Two high-sensitivity laser strainmeters installed in the Canfranc Underground Laboratory (Spain): Instrument features from 100 to 0.001 mHz. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1553-7>.

- Bán, D., Mentés, G., Kis, M., & Koppán, A. (2017). Observation of the earth liquid core resonance by extensometers. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1724-6>.
- Braitenberg, C. (2018). The deforming and rotating Earth—a review of the 18th International Symposium on Geodynamics and Earth Tide, Trieste 2016. *Geodesy Geodyn*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2018.03.003>.
- Braitenberg, C., Pivetta, T., Rossi, G., Ventura, P., & Betic, A. (2017). Karst caves and hydrology between geodesy and archeology: Field trip notes. *Geodesy Geodyn*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2017.06.004>.
- Crossley, D., Calvo, M., Rosat, S., & Hinderer, J. (2018). More thoughts on AG-SG comparisons and SG scale factor determinations. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1834-9>.
- Grillo, B., Braitenberg, C., Nagy, I., Devoti, R., Zuliani, D., & Fabris, P. (2018). Cansiglio Karst Plateau: 10 years of geodetic–hydrological observations in Seismically Active Northeast Italy. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1860-7>.
- Gruszczynska, M., Rosat, S., Klos, A., Gruszczynski, M., & Bogusz, J. (2018). Multichannel singular spectrum analysis in the estimates of common environmental effects affecting GPS observations. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1814-0>.
- Gruszczynski, M., Klos, A., & Bogusz, J. (2018). A filtering of incomplete GNSS position time series with probabilistic Principal Component Analysis. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1856-3>.
- Hazrati-Kashi, M., Mirzaei, N., & Moshiri, B. (2018). An image segmentation based algorithm for imaging of slow slip earthquakes. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2018.04.001>.
- Jahr, T. (2017). Non-tidal tilt and strain signals recorded at the Geodynamic Observatory Moxa, Thuringia/Germany. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2017.03.015>.
- Jentsch, G., Schulz, R., & Weise, A. (2018). Automated Burris gravity meter for single and continuous observation. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2017.09.007>.
- Karbon, M., Balidakis, K., Belda, S., Nilsson, T., Hagedoorn, J., & Schuh, H. (2018). Long-term evaluation of ocean tidal variation models of polar motion and UT1. *Pure Appl Geophys*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1866-1>.
- Klos, A., Gruszczynska, M., Bos, M. S., Boy, J.-P., & Bogusz, J. (2017). Estimates of vertical velocity errors for IGS ITRF2014 stations by applying the improved singular spectrum analysis method and environmental loading models. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1494-1>.
- Meurers, B. (2017). Scintrex CG5 used for superconducting gravimeter calibration. *Geodesy Geodyn*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2017.02.009>.
- Milyukov, V., Rogozhin, E., Gorbatikov, A., Mironov, A., Myasnikov, A., & Stepanova, M. (2017). Contemporary State of the Elbrus Volcanic Center (The Northern Caucasus). *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1595-x>.
- Morsut, F., Pivetta, T., Braitenberg, C., & Poretti, G. (2017). Strain accumulation and release of the Gorkha, Nepal, earthquake (M w 7.8, 25 April 2015). *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1639-2>.
- Oreiro, F. A., Wziontek, H., Fiore, M. E., D’Onofrio, E. E., & Brunini, C. (2017). Non-tidal ocean loading correction for the Argentinean-German geodetic observatory using an empirical model of storm surge for the Rio de la Plata. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1651-6>.
- Rosat, S., Escot, B., Hinderer, J., & Boy, J.-P. (2017). Analyses of a 426-day record of seafloor gravity and pressure time series in the North Sea. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1554-6>.
- Rossi, G., Fabris, P., & Zuliani, D. (2017). Overpressure and fluid diffusion causing non-hydrological transient GNSS displacements. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1712-x>.
- Ruotsalainen, H. (2017). Interferometric water level tilt meter development in Finland and comparison with combined earth tide and ocean loading models. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1562-6>.
- Salomon, C. (2018). Finite element modelling of the geodynamic processes of the Central Andes subduction zone: A reference model. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2017.11.007>.
- Schulz, H. R. (2017). A new PC control software for ZLS-Burris gravity meters. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2017.09.002>.
- Shults, R., & Annenkov, A. (2017). Investigation of the different weight models in Kalman filter: A case study of GNSS monitoring results. *Geodesy and Geodynamics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geog.2017.09.003>.
- Varga, P., & Grafarend, E. (2017). Influence of tidal forces on the triggering of seismic events. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1563-5>.
- Varga, P., Grafarend, E., & Engels, J. (2017). Relation of different type Love-Shida numbers determined with the use of time-varying incremental gravitational potential. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1532-z>.
- Vinogradov, E., Gorbunova, E., Besedina, A., & Kabychenko, N. (2017). Earth tide analysis specifics in case of unstable aquifer regime. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1585-z>.
- Virtanen, H., & Raja-Halli, A. (2017). Parallel observations with three superconducting gravity sensors during 2014–2015 at Metsähovi Geodetic Research Station, Finland. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1719-3>.
- Weise, A., & Jahr, T. (2017). The improved hydrological gravity model for Moxa observatory, Germany. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-017-1546-6>.
- Yu, H., Guo, J., Kong, Q., & Chen, X. (2018). Gravity tides extracted from relative gravimeter data by combining empirical mode decomposition and independent component analysis. *Pure Appl Geophys*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-018-1864-3>.