

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/02727714)

Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ecss

Structural and functional response of coastal macrofaunal community to terrigenous input from the Po River (northern Adriatic Sea)

Federica Nasi $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{*}}$, Rocco Auriemma $^{\mathrm{a}}$, Federica Relitti $^{\mathrm{a}}$, Matteo Bazzaro $^{\mathrm{a}}$, Daniele Cassin $^{\mathrm{b}}$, Tamara Cibic^a

^a *Sezione di Oceanografia, Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale - OGS, via A. Piccard 54, I-34151, Trieste, Italy* ^b *Istituto di Scienze Marine, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (ISMAR-CNR), Castello 2737/F, 30122, Venezia, Italy*

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Soft bottom macrofauna River delta Terrestrial inputs Biodiversity Biological traits analysis

ABSTRACT

To assess the influence of river-derived material on the benthic ecosystem in the Po River prodelta area, we investigated the structural and functional features of macrofaunal invertebrates in 14 sites. Biodiversity, species composition, *response* and *effect* traits of the community were determined and related to the main sediment physical-chemical and nutritional features (biopolymeric carbon, BPC; protein to carbohydrate ratio, Prt/Cho ratio; phaeopigment to chlorophyll-*a* ratio, Phaeo/Chl-*a*), as well as contaminants. We found significant spatial differences in community structure and its functional expression between northern and southern stations, and between nearshore and offshore ones. The shallower stations located nearby the main river mouth displayed higher densities, diversity and functional redundancy compared to southern stations. The main discriminating factors for the community were the higher percentage of sand and Prt/Cho nearby the river mouth, and fine grain-size and high Phaeo/Chl-*a* at the southernmost and deeper stations. Contaminant concentrations did not seem to severely affect the macrofaunal community. Changes in community structure were due to the dominance of few species, which occurrence varied according to grain-size and organic matter quality that in turn were linked to the different magnitude of depositional loads in the investigated area.

Focusing on *response* traits, we observed a clear influence of high loads nearby the main river mouth, through the dominance of *sessile* and *tube-builder* trait-categories at stations with a higher sand content. In contrast, we detected a major expression of *burrowers* and *interface crawlers*, and *swimmers* at southern and principally offshore muddy stations. *Effect* traits revealed that in the northern part of the prodelta prevailed *suspension*, *surface deposit feeders* and *conveyor* invertebrates that are, respectively, fundamental players in the benthic-pelagic coupling and elemental cycling within benthos. In the southern part*, subsurface deposit feeder* and *biodiffuser* organisms dominated. The latter are able to rework huge amounts of sediments also in the deepest layers, amplifying the elemental cycling and decomposition. In highly dynamic systems, as delta areas, the presence of invertebrates able to exploit different resources through bioturbation activities may enhance the functions of riverine costal ecosystems.

1. Introduction

Estuaries and deltas are transitional zones between land, freshwater habitat and sea [\(Levin et al., 2001](#page-14-0)). These areas of freshwater influence are subjected to several natural and anthropogenic factors that determine highly dynamic temporal and spatial patterns of both abiotic and biotic components [\(Kennish et al., 2014\)](#page-14-0). Major terrestrial inputs in estuaries lead to high concentrations of nutrients, primary and secondary production ([Lohrenz et al., 1990](#page-14-0); [Herman et al., 1999\)](#page-14-0) but also land-derived contaminants that may cause the destruction of habitats and depletion of species in these marine ecosystems [\(Lotze et al., 2006](#page-14-0)).

Sediments are a pivotal component of aquatic ecosystems where important transformation and exchange processes take place ([Snelgrove](#page-14-0) [et al., 2000\)](#page-14-0). Indeed, river plumes supply sediments with phytodetritus that influences the composition, abundance and dynamics of benthic communities located beneath the plume ([Danovaro et al., 2002](#page-13-0); [Sale](#page-14-0)[n-Picard et al., 2003\)](#page-14-0). Macrofaunal organisms, due to their high biomass and species diversity ([Snelgrove, 1998](#page-14-0)), could be considered a key

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106548>

Available online 24 December 2019 0272-7714/© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Received 17 June 2019; Received in revised form 22 November 2019; Accepted 13 December 2019

^{*} Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* fnasi@inogs.it (F. Nasi).

biological component, which drive important processes in marine sediments, such as nutrient cycling, sediment reworking, bio-irrigation, organic matter decomposition and secondary production [\(Widdicombe](#page-15-0) [et al., 2004;](#page-15-0) [Bremner et al., 2006](#page-13-0); [Olsgard et al., 2008\)](#page-14-0).

Macrofaunal spatial distribution patterns have been investigated worldwide in relation to several environmental variables (e.g. [Snel](#page-14-0)[grove, 1998](#page-14-0); [Levin et al., 2001;](#page-14-0) [Gray and Elliott, 2009\)](#page-13-0). In particular, studies quantifying the impacts of deposition of material from river plumes on macrofaunal communities are well documented (e.g. [Thrush](#page-14-0) [et al., 2004](#page-14-0); [Forrest et al., 2007;](#page-13-0) [Hermand et al., 2008;](#page-14-0) [Akoumianaki and](#page-13-0) [Nicolaidou 2007\)](#page-13-0). However, these studies have focused on the structural aspects of species' assemblages, such as abundance, biomass and diversity, but they have rarely assessed the functional adaptation to the environment. Lately, the scientific interest in understanding the influence of impacts on the functioning (e.g. productivity, transfer of energy and nutrient regulation) of benthic assemblages has increased, since the magnitude of the response is highly dependent on the functional features of the community ([Hale et al., 2014\)](#page-13-0). Indeed, species interact with and respond to their physical and chemical environment in various ways depending on their ability to do so. Therefore, the use of species composition alone might be inadequate for investigating processes that sustain an ecological system [\(Díaz and Cabido, 2001\)](#page-13-0), since the ecosystem processes are determined by the functional characteristics of the organisms, rather than by their taxonomic identity ([Grime, 1997\)](#page-13-0). In this regard, the use of biological traits allows to gain insights into the relative presence of certain functional properties related to life history, and behavioural or morphological characteristics of macrofaunal communities in response to habitat constrains [\(Southwood, 1977](#page-14-0); [Solan](#page-14-0) [et al., 2004\)](#page-14-0).

Biological Trait Analysis (BTA) is a useful analytical approach that looks beyond the mere identity of communities' taxa and focuses more on their functional roles in shaping the ecosystem properties. In fact, BTA combines structural data of a macrofaunal community (i.e. species abundance or biomass) with the information on functional features of species ([Bremner et al., 2006;](#page-13-0) [Beauchard et al., 2017\)](#page-13-0). Hence, by applying this approach it is possible to implement the following functional indices: i) functional diversity that represents the variety of function developed by organisms in a community, comprising a component of biodiversity; ii) functional redundancy used to define the resilience of ecosystem functions. In addition, ecosystem properties should primarily depend on the identity of dominant species and their functional traits. In fact, functional identity indicates the role of a single species in the ecosystem and depends on the diversity and distribution of traits within a community ([Mouillot et al., 2011](#page-14-0); [Sigala et al., 2012](#page-14-0); [Gladstone-Gallagher et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). Recently, an increasing number of studies have applied this approach to marine benthic assemblages in relation to several environmental variables (e.g. [Breine et al., 2018](#page-13-0); Villnäs [et al., 2018](#page-15-0)), hypoxia events ([Gogina et al., 2014\)](#page-13-0), dumping of dredged sediments [\(Bolam et al., 2016](#page-13-0); [Hussin et al., 2012\)](#page-14-0), sewage discharges ([Gusmao et al., 2016;](#page-13-0) [Krumhansl et al., 2016](#page-14-0)) and chemical contamination (e.g. [Nasi et al., 2018;](#page-14-0) [Egres et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). However, these studies have rarely distinguished between *response* and *effect* biological traits [\(Hooper et al., 2005](#page-14-0); [Petchey et al., 2009\)](#page-14-0). This concept has been shown to be of fundamental importance for the application of BTA in the terrestrial realm [\(Díaz and Cabido, 2001\)](#page-13-0), in freshwater aquatic systems ([Engelhardt, 2006\)](#page-13-0) and, more recently, in marine ecosystems as well (i. e. [Bolam et al., 2016\)](#page-13-0). *Effect* traits concern ecosystem properties, e.g. increased mobility within the benthos will facilitate oxygen penetration through bioturbation. In contrast, *response* traits concern the response to changes in the physical environment, e.g. deep borrowing species are less likely to be affected by disturbance at the sediment-water interface. The distinction between these two groups of traits could give more insights in determining the impact of environmental change on ecosystem processes, since *response* traits may vary independently from *effect* traits ([Violle et al., 2007;](#page-15-0) [Bolam et al., 2016\)](#page-13-0).

Investigations on the effects of river discharge on invertebrate

biological features and consequent changes in the ecosystem functioning are still rare, particularly in delta areas. In this study, we focused on macrofaunal invertebrates inhabiting the coastal area adjacent to the Po River delta (northern Adriatic Sea). In this prodelta area, a major flood event is able to transfer to the Adriatic Sea an amount of solid material and organic matter comparable to the annual sediment transport ([Miserocchi et al., 2007;](#page-14-0) [Giani et al., 2009\)](#page-13-0). Moreover, the Po River watershed is subjected to multiple human-derived pressures resulting from many activities such as agriculture, industry and urban development. Therefore, the Po River carries yearly tons of anthropogenic chemicals collected from the river basin and its distributaries into the sea (Viganò [et al., 2003](#page-15-0)). Previous studies highlighted that under both moderate and peak discharge regimes, the relative fractions of terrigenous organic carbon carried and released by the river is transported from its main distributary mouth (i.e. Po di Pila) southward along the shelf ([Tesi et al., 2011](#page-14-0)). Several studies have described the macrozoobenthic community of this particular area (e.g. [Occhipinti-Ambrogi et al., 2002](#page-14-0), [2005;](#page-14-0) N' [Siala, 2008\)](#page-14-0), others investigated the impact of river-derived material on this community ([Simonini et al., 2004;](#page-14-0) [Bongiorni et al.,](#page-13-0) [2018\)](#page-13-0) or estimated the secondary production of selected macrofaunal invertebrates in relation to the river regime (e.g. the polychaete *Owenia fusiformis*, [Ambrogi et al., 1995;](#page-13-0) the bivalve *Spisula subtruncata* [Ambrogi](#page-13-0) [and Occhipinti-Ambrogi, 1987\)](#page-13-0). However, to the best of our knowledge, the influence of river discharge on macrofaunal community functional diversity and traits composition in the Po River prodelta area has not been investigated yet.

In this study, we hypothesized that: i) river-derived material influences species composition and functional diversity of coastal benthic invertebrates; ii) the different river-plume depositions significantly modify the macrofaunal community structure and functional expression of *response* and *effect* traits; iii) sediment loads influence the functional identity and shape ecosystem properties. To test these hypotheses, samples were collected in the Po River prodelta area, along one parallel north-south transect (from the main mouth Po di Pila, southwards) and along two coast-offshore transects (Po di Tolle and Po di Gnocca), during two sampling campaigns. The structure and function of benthic invertebrate assemblages were analysed and related to the sediment grainsize, organic matter quantity and quality, and contamination levels.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study site

With an average discharge of $1500 \text{ m}^3\text{s}^{-1}$, the Po River is one of the largest rivers of the Mediterranean Sea in terms of freshwater fluxes ([Ludwig et al., 2009](#page-14-0)). It extends over 685 km^2 and most of the drainage basin runs through a wide low-gradient alluvial plain [\(Tesi et al., 2011](#page-14-0)). Formed by seven river branches (178 km), several lagoons and wetlands, its delta system is located in the north-western boundary of the Adriatic Sea ([Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). Overall, the river is considered one of the main vectors for the transport of nutrients, trace metals and other compounds to the northern Adriatic Sea ([Giani et al., 2012\)](#page-13-0). Characterized by two annual floods ($>$ 5000 $\mathrm{m}^{3}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$), associated with rainfall in autumn and snowmelt in spring ([Boldrin et al., 2005](#page-13-0)), its total discharge is not equally distributed along the coast of the delta. Only 20% flows into the northern coast, 30% to the Pila tip (then driven southward by coastal currents) and the remaining 50% into the southern coast. This means that almost 80% of freshwater, sediments and river-borne compounds are driven offshore the coast of the southern delta lagoons [\(Maicu et al.,](#page-14-0) [2018\)](#page-14-0). During normal flow conditions, transported fine-grained sediments undergo a relatively rapid deposition nearby the mouths (\sim 6 cm $year⁻¹$ near the Pila distributary; [Tesi et al., 2011](#page-14-0)). Conversely, during flood events, these particles may cover a wide distance before reaching the sea bottom. The plume is principally transported southward along the shelf due to the predominant cyclonic Western Adriatic Coastal Current –WAC (driven chiefly by the pressure gradient established

Fig. 1. Location of sampling sites and periods in the Po River prodelta.

between interior dense-water and coastal freshwater set up by the Italian rivers) and it is subjected to wind-induced resuspension events promoted principally by the north-easterly Bora wind [\(Frignani et al.,](#page-13-0) [2005\)](#page-13-0). Furthermore, the latter tends to confine the plume along the Italian coastline ([Kourafalou, 1999](#page-14-0)), especially during winter when this katabatic wind is stronger while the south-easterly Scirocco drives riverine water northward ([Pirazzoli and Tomasin, 2002\)](#page-14-0).

2.2. Sampling design

Sediment samples were collected during two oceanographic campaigns on board of the R/V OGS-Explora and R/V Dalla Porta, in October and December 2014, respectively. The sampling sites, during both campaigns, were located at increasing depths (comprised between 9 and 21 m) and distance from the main distributary mouth (Po di Pila) along the southward river plume (Fig. 1). Seven out of the 14 stations were considered "nearshore" and seven "offshore" (Table 1). Moreover, to assess the possible influence of distinct distributary channels on the macrozoobenthic community features, stations were gathered along a 'north-south' transect (i.e. coastal stations from the northern part, nearby the Po di Pila to the southern area of the Po prodelta), and two coastal-offshore transects off Po di Tolle and Po di Gnocca.

During the first campaign, virtually undisturbed sediment cores for physical-chemical analyses were sampled by means of an automatic KC Haps bottom corer (KC-Denmark) equipped with polycarbonate sample tubes (internal diameter: 12.7 cm; surface area: 127 cm^2); whereas for macrofaunal community a van Veen grab (0.3 m^2) was used. In the second campaign, sediments sampled for macrofaunal and physicalchemical analyses were collected with a van Veen grab (0.1 m^2) in three replicates.

2.3. Sediment grain-size, organic matter, pigments and contaminants

At each sampling, for chemical variables (organic carbon, total nitrogen, biopolimeric carbon, pigments and contaminants), aliquots of 10–15 g of surface sediments (top 0–2 cm layer) were sampled.

For grain-size analysis, sediments were sieved at 2 mm and pretreated with 10% hydrogen peroxide (60 °C for 24h) before being analysed with Malvern Mastersizer 2000 equipped with Hydro 2000s (Malvern Instruments Ltd., Malvern, UK). Data are expressed as

Table 1

Coordinates and depth of sampling stations, and an indication of the sampling period as well as of the transect to which the station belongs (i.e. 'north-south'; 'distributary channel' and 'depth').

percentages of sand, silt and clay.

Organic carbon (Corg) and Total Nitrogen (TN) contents were determined using a CHNO–S elemental analyser (mod. ECS 4010, Costech, Italy) according to [Pella and Colombo \(1973\)](#page-14-0). For Corg analysis, samples were acidified with increasing concentration of HCl (0.1N and 1N) to remove the carbonate fraction [\(Nieuwenhuize et al., 1994](#page-14-0)). Standard acetanilide (Costech, purity \geq 99.5%) was used to calibrate the instrument and empty capsules were also analysed in order to correct for blank. The relative standard deviations for three replicates determination were lower than 3%. Corg and TN concentrations are expressed as percentage. Organic carbon to nitrogen molar ratio (C:N) was calculated and used as a proxy of the organic matter origin [\(Rumolo et al., 2011](#page-14-0)).

For biopolymeric carbon (BPC) analyses, subsamples of homogenised sediment were freeze-dried and processed in triplicates for the determination of carbohydrates, lipids and proteins. Colloidal and EDTA extractable carbohydrates (CHO) were analysed following the method described by [Blasutto et al. \(2005\).](#page-13-0) Lipids (LIP) were analysed according to [Bligh and Dyer \(1959\)](#page-13-0) while proteins (PRT) were determined following [Hartree \(1972\).](#page-14-0) Data were converted to carbon equivalents using the conversion factors proposed by [Fichez \(1991\)](#page-13-0) and their sum was referred as biopolymeric carbon (BPC). The protein to carbohydrate ratio (Prt/Cho) was used as a proxy of the "age" of the organic matter in sediments [\(Pusceddu et al., 2000](#page-14-0)).

Chloroplastic pigments (chlorophyll-*a-*Chl-*a* and phaeopigment-Phaeo) were analysed spectrofluorometrically following the procedures described by [Lorenzen and Jeffrey \(1980\).](#page-14-0) Pigments were extracted overnight (4 \degree C, 90% acetone) from 0.2 to 0.5 g of wet sediment (in three replicates). After centrifugation the supernatant was used to determine the Chl-*a*, and acidified with 0.1 N HCl to estimate the amount of Phaeo. The phaeopigments to chlorophyll-*a* ratio (Phaeo/Chl-*a)* was used as a proxy of freshness/degradation of phyto carbon (Dell'[Anno et al., 2002](#page-13-0)).

The concentrations of metals, except for mercury, were determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) (Optima 2100DV, PerkinElmer, USA) (USEPA, 1994). The analyses of Hg were carried out by atomic absorption spectrophotometry by cold vapour (Analyst 100, PerkinElmer, USA) (USEPA, 1976). PAHs and PCBs analyses were conducted according to [Cassin et al. \(2018\).](#page-13-0) For the purpose of this study, we used the sum of the analysed PAHs, and the sum of PCB congeners, referred to PAHs and PCBs in the text. In

Table 2

Functional trait-categories used in the present study, divided in *response* and *effect* traits. Codes of categories are also presented.

December 2014, sediment samples for contaminant analyses were not retrieved from C22 and C23 stations.

2.4. Macrofaunal community processing

The sediments collected for macrofaunal community analysis were sieved on a 1.0 mm mesh to retain the fraction of macrofaunal organisms. The retained sediment and organisms were immediately fixed with a formaldehyde solution (4% v/v final concentration in seawater). After washing, organisms were separated from the sediment by tweezers, divided into the main taxonomical phyla, and preserved in ethanol 70°. Macrofaunal invertebrates were identified under a stereomicroscope (Zeiss Discovery V.12, 8–110 \times final magnification) and counted. For the taxonomic identification, the keys listed in [Morri et al. \(2004\)](#page-14-0) were used.

2.5. Biological traits analysis

In this study, we considered 8 biological traits with 35 categories that are commonly used to describe variation in important morphological, behavioural and life history characteristics of marine benthic invertebrates (Törnroos [and Bonsdorff, 2012](#page-15-0)). These traits were chosen also following previous studies with a similar aim [\(Reid et al., 2011](#page-14-0); [Bolam et al., 2016](#page-13-0)) (Table 2). The considered traits were then divided in two broad groups: (i) *response traits* i.e. those that affect a species response to changes in the environment, such as disturbance, resource availability or climatic shift; (ii) *effect* traits i.e. those that affect ecosystem properties ([Bolam et al., 2016](#page-13-0) and reference therein).

The taxa were coded based on their affinity for the chosen traits (Table S1) using the "fuzzy coding" procedure. Through this method, taxa can exhibit trait-categories to different degrees considering the interspecific variations in traits occurrences ([Chevenet et al., 1994](#page-13-0); [Bremner et al., 2006\)](#page-13-0). The fuzzy-coding procedure involves the assignment of a score ranging from a minimum of 0 (no affinity for the considered category) to a maximum of 3 (high affinity). Intermediate values, 1 and 2, represent a low and moderate-high importance for the selected trait, respectively. Traits for each taxon were derived from literature sources (i.e. [Giangrande, 1997](#page-13-0); [Jumars et al., 2015](#page-14-0); Queirós [et al., 2013](#page-14-0)) and databases (i.e. [www.marlin.ac.uk/biotic](#page-14-0); [www.poly](#page-14-0)[traits.lifewatchgreece.eu\)](#page-14-0). Taxonomic resolution was kept at species level whenever possible but adjusted to genus or family when the information on traits was available only at a higher taxonomic level.

2.6. Data analysis

On traits profiles, trait-category richness was computed as the total number of categories expressed per sample. Two functional indices were calculated, functional diversity (expressed as FDiv) and functional redundancy (expressed as FDiv to Shannon-Wiener ratio-FDiv/H'; [Van](#page-15-0) [der Linden et al., 2012\)](#page-15-0). FDiv is the degree to which abundance distribution in niche space maximises divergence in functional characters within the community [\(Mason et al., 2005](#page-14-0)), whereas functional redundancy represents a phenomenon for which multiple species representing a variety of taxonomic groups can share similar roles in ecosystem functionality ([Díaz and Cabido, 2001\)](#page-13-0). Hereby, a higher ratio value is indicative of lower functional redundancy. Further, to analyse the functional identity (i.e. range of expression of functional traits), the community weighted trait means (CWM) method was applied. CWM is a widely used index that may reflect the trait strategies given by the species pool and environmental conditions of a site ([Muscarella and](#page-14-0) [Uriarte, 2016](#page-14-0)). For the calculation of CWM in our study, a community was defined as the species assemblage in each sample. CWM values represent the expression of a trait by species in a given community, weighted by the abundance of species expressing that specific trait. The FDiv and CWM values of the macrofaunal community in each sample were obtained using the FD library in the R program ver. 3.1.3 ([Lalibert](#page-14-0)é [et al., 2014\)](#page-14-0).

The physical-chemical parameters of Po River prodelta sediments, species composition and traits occurrences (CWM values) were compared among sampling sites using univariate and multivariate analyses, separately. First, to test the temporal variation of river loads, a one-way PERMANOVA main test was performed, where 'sampling period' (October *vs* December 2014) was selected as a fixed factor. In addition, spatial differences of stations gathered in transects were tested, where 'north-south' and 'distributary channel' were selected as fixed factors. Moreover, to test the influence of bathymetry on physicalchemical parameters in the sampling area, 'depth' (i.e. nearshore stations *<*16 m; offshore stations *>*16 m) was selected as factor [\(Table 1](#page-2-0)). Further, to assess whether the spatial differences among the investigated gradients were found during both sampling periods, a two-way PER-MANOVA main test was carried out, where 'sampling period' were nested as random factor in 'north-south', 'distributary channel' and 'depth' factors, separately. When significant differences were obtained, PERMANOVA pairwise tests were performed. Unrestricted permutation of raw data and 9999 permutations were applied.

Univariate diversity analysis was applied to macrofaunal community considering the number of taxa, equitability (J' Pielou evenness) and), and diversity (H' Shannon-Wiener diversity) [\(Clarke et al., 2014\)](#page-13-0).

In order to highlight differences among sites in the study area, variations in abiotic factors, macrofaunal community biodiversity and functional diversity indices were tested by the Mann-Whitney *U* test, where factors 'north-south', 'depth' and 'distributary channel' were applied. These analyses were carried out using STATISTICA 7 software.

In addition, to point out which taxa majorly contributed to spatial variation of macrofaunal assemblage, SIMPER (SIMilarityPERcentange) one-way analysis was used and factors 'north-south' and 'depth' were assigned. A cut-off at 60% was applied.

Environmental parameters were used to perform the Distance-Linear Modelling (DistLM) to assess which variables explained differences (p *<* 0.05) in species and traits composition (i.e. species abundance and CWM matrices, respectively). Prior to the analysis, the environmental data were normalized and the option "All specified" and R^2 were used as the selection procedure and criterion, respectively. This analysis was applied to physical-chemical data and contaminants, separately. Since contaminant data were not available for stations C22 and C23 in December, macrofaunal community data from these stations and period were not included in the DistLM model.

In order to visualize any spatial patterns of macrofaunal species composition within the study area, a non-metric multidimensional scaling ordination (nMDS) was performed. Abiotic variables (physicalchemical and contaminant data highlighted by DistLM models performed on both matrices; i.e. species composition and CWM values) were overlaid separately as supplementary variables (vectors) onto ordination space to investigate their relationship in this distribution.

For each multivariate analysis (i.e. PERMANOVA, SIMPER, DistLM and nMDS) the abiotic parameters were normalized and the Euclidean distance was applied; whereas the two matrices (i.e. species composition and CWM values) were square root and $Log(x+1)$ transformed; the Bray-Curtis similarity and Euclidean distance were applied, respectively. These analyses were performed using PRIMER 7 (PRIMER-E Ltd. Plymouth, UK) [\(Clarke et al., 2014\)](#page-13-0).

In this study, we applied the RLQ analysis (Dolédec et al., 1996) to

look for relationships between traits-categories occurrences (for *response* and *effect* attributes, separately) and sediment variables. The RLQ analysis requires the generation of three different data tables: the R table, gathers information on the environmental variables (i.e. salinity, grain-size, Corg, C:N, BPC, Prt/Cho and Phaeo/Chl-*a* ratios) from all sites; the L table, constituted by the abundance of each species in each sampling site; and the Q table, composed of trait data provided by the fuzzy-coding procedure of the scoring of each taxa of the thirty-five different trait-categories [\(Table 2\)](#page-3-0). Before running the RQL analysis, as a first step, we carried out the analysis separately on each of the following three tables: environmental variables (R), abundance (L), and traits (Q). Thus, a correspondence analysis (CA) was firstly conducted on the L table, while a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on the R table. Regarding fuzzy-coded trait data, a fuzzy correspondence analysis (FCA) was conducted. These separate analyses were then combined with the RLQ analysis maximizing the covariation between environmental variables and taxonomical traits. This analysis was carried out with ade4 ([Dray and Dufour 2007](#page-13-0)) package in the R program (ver. 3.1.3).

To highlight the spatial relationship between predictor variables (i.e. physical-chemical parameters and contaminants, separately) and traitscategories, linear regression was computed. By doing so, the predictive power of environmental parameters for each modality was discriminated via the coefficient R^2 and F-values. This analysis was carried out using STATISTICA 7 software.

3. Results

3.1. Physical-chemical parameters and contaminants in sediments

The lowest bottom salinity was registered at offshore stations located along the 'Po di Tolle' transect (i.e. 32.7 and 32.8 at C10c and C10b, respectively), whereas the highest salinity was observed at southernmost stations and the deepest ones of 'Po di Gnocca' transect, during both sampling periods ([Table 3](#page-5-0)).

The sediments in the Po River prodelta area were characterized by high percentage of silt (average value: 69.2 ± 3.7 %). The sand percentage varied from a minimum of 0.9% at C12 to a maximum of 19.9% at C8 in December. A decreasing pattern in sand fraction was evidenced, in particular in December, from northern to southern stations (10.6 and 0.9% at C3a and C12, respectively) and from C16 to offshore ones (5.8 and 1.2% at C16 and C23, respectively). The sand percentage significantly differed between nearshore and offshore stations (U test $z = 2.4$; p *<* 0.05). Conversely, higher values of clay were obtained at southern stations, in particular at C12 (33.5%). The clay percentage significantly increased from stations located nearby the Po di Pila mouth to southern ones (*U* test $z = -2.3$; $p < 0.05$) ([Table 3](#page-5-0)).

During both campaigns, the lowest values of Corg were recorded at station C8 (0.8% and 1.0% in October and December, respectively), whereas the highest one was obtained at C19 during the first sampling period (1.6%). The C:N molar ratio over the sampling area and periods varied between 8.6 and 13.3 at C19 (in October and December, respectively), and significantly decreased toward the southern stations $(U \text{ test } z = 2.1; p < 0.05).$

The lowest Phaeo/Chl-*a* ratio, considering both sampling periods, was found in front of Po di Pila (8.1 at C3a), and the highest one at the southernmost and deepest station (29.4 at C23 in December).

BPC values were slightly higher in October than in December. During the first campaign, BPC ranged between 1747.9 and 3583.9 μ g g⁻¹ at C3a and C10d, respectively. The Prt/Cho ratio, in both periods, was higher at stations nearby the main river mouth, displaying a maximum at C6 in October and minimum at C23 in December (2.3 and 0.4, respectively) [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0).

Overall, the PERMANOVA one-way main-test revealed that the physical-chemical data significantly differed between nearshore and offshore stations (Pseudo-F = 2.8; $p < 0.05$). A similar result was

Table 3

Physical-chemical parameters measured at sampling stations (from north to south). Corg (organic carbon); Prt/Cho (protein to carbon ratio); Phaeo/Chl-a (phaeopigments to chlorophyll-a ratio); C:N (carbon and nitrogen ratio).

obtained by the two-way PERMANOVA main test, where the factor 'depth' significantly differed from the 'sampling periods' one (Pseudo-F ¼ 4.8; p *<* 0.01), in particular in October (PERMANOVA pairwise test: t ¼ 1.8, p *<* 0.01). On the contrary, no significant differences were noticed between sampling periods, northern and southern stations and between the two minor distributaries (i.e. Po di Tolle and Po di Gnocca).

Concentrations of heavy metals, PAHs and PCBs, measured in surface sediments of the study area, are listed in Table S2. C16 was the most contaminated station by Cr, Cu, Ni, Cd and Al. In contrast, the highest values of Hg (0.48 mg kg⁻¹), As (14.3 mg kg⁻¹) and Zn (142.7 mg kg⁻¹) were found at the deepest stations C23, C10c and C22, respectively, especially in October. The maximum concentration of PCBs was detected at C16 in December (27.8 µg g^{-1}). Conversely, the maximum value of PAHs (157.2 μ g g⁻¹) was obtained at the offshore station C10d in October.

3.2. Macrofaunal structural and functional features

The lowest macrofaunal abundances in the Po River prodelta were observed at C23 (110 and 83.5 ind. m^{-2} in October and December respectively), whereas the highest densities were obtained in both sampling periods at C8 (2293.3 ind. m⁻² in October and 5333.3 ind. m⁻² in December). Stations positioned nearby the Po di Pila mouth displayed higher densities compared to southern stations. Nearshore stations displayed similar abundances, whereas the offshore ones displayed lower macrofaunal numbers. Polychaetes and molluscs were the dominant taxa (45% and 42% of the total abundance, respectively) followed by crustaceans (11%), echinoderms (2%) and other groups (anthozoans, sipunculids and nemertines, together 1%). Overall, polychaetes were dominant at stations located in front of the main river mouth, whereas at the southern ones molluscs and crustaceans considerably increased.

The PERMANOVA main test performed on macrofaunal community highlighted differences between stations located in the northern and southern area of the Po delta as well as nearshore *vs* offshore ones (Pseudo-F ¼ 1.8; p *<* 0.05 and 3.7; p *<* 0.001, respectively). In addition, from the two-way PERMANOVA main test, the macrofaunal community at stations located at a depth of *<*16 m significantly differed from that inhabiting deeper sites (Pseudo-F $= 2.3$; $p < 0.01$), both in October and December (PERMANOVA pairwise test: $t = 1.5$, $p < 0.05$ for both periods). Conversely, no significant differences were obtained between either sampling periods or the two minor distributaries (i.e. Po di Tolle and Po di Gnocca).

A total of 142 taxa were observed in the study area. The highest

Table 4

Values of structural and functional diversity indices of macrofaunal community for sampling sites and periods. J': Pielou eveness; H': Shannon-Wiener diversity; FDiv: functional dispersion; FD/H': FDiv to Shannon-Wiener diversity ratio.

number of taxa was observed at C1 (43), and the lowest one at C23 in December (8). As corroborated by *U* test, a significant spatial pattern of variation in the taxa richness was observed comparing nearshore *vs* offshore stations (*U* test $z = 2.3$; $p < 0.05$). The highest value of diversity $(H' = 4.10)$ was obtained at C1, whereas the lowest values of diversity $(H' = 1.20)$ and evenness $(J' = 0.27)$ were obtained at C3b, likely due to the dominance of the polychaete *Sternaspis scutata* (750.0 ind. m^{-2}) at this site (Table 4). Overall, no significant patterns were noticed either along the 'north-south' transect or nearshore *vs* offshore sites.

Concerning the functional analyses performed on the communities, a significant spatial pattern of variation in the trait-category richness (average: 31.1 ± 1.5 ; range: 29-33) was observed from stations located at a depth of $<$ 16 m to deeper ones (*U* test $z = 2.1$; $p < 0.05$) (Table 4). On the contrary, no significant differences were noticed in FDiv between northern and southern stations, nearshore and offshore ones. The latter values ranged from a minimum of 0.54 at C19 in December to a maximum of 0.86 at C3a. As FDiv values, no significant differences were observed for functional redundancy values (FDiv/H') along the plume sedimentation gradient (i.e. north-south stations and nearshore-offshore

ones). However, a great variability in FDiv/H' was instead observed at stations nearby the main river mouth (i.e. Po di Pila): C1 (0.2), C3a (0.3) and C3b (0.5). At southern stations, no spatial pattern in FDiv/H' was noticed (average value: 0.26 ± 0.04) [\(Table 4\)](#page-5-0).

The SIMPER test showed high dissimilarity values in macrofaunal composition between stations located nearby the Po di Pila mouth and the southern ones (average dissimilarity $= 71.6$). The dissimilarity was mainly due to the presence of *Owenia fusiformis* (Contrib. $\% = 8.3$) and the crustacean *Ampelisca intermedia* (Contrib. $% = 4.3$) that were dominant in the northern and southern sites, respectively. In addition, the difference in taxa between nearshore and offshore stations (average dissimilarity = 72.9) was attributed to the high abundance of *Corbula* gibba, A. intermedia and *O. fusiformis* at nearshore stations (Contrib.% = 8.2, 6.7 and 3.9, respectively), whereas *S. scutata* was dominant at the deeper ones (Contrib. $% = 5.53$) (Table 5).

The PERMANOVA main test performed on macrofaunal traitcategories occurrences highlighted differences between coastal stations located in the northern and southern area of the Po delta and between nearshore *vs* offshore ones (Pseudo-F $= 1.8$; p < 0.05 and 3.7; p $<$ 0.001, respectively). In addition, from the two-way PERMANOVA main test, macrofaunal community significantly differed from stations located at a depth of $\langle 16 \text{ m} \text{ to } \text{deeper} \text{ ones}$ (Pseudo-F = 3.9; $p \langle 0.001 \text{), both in}$ October and December (PERMANOVA pairwise test: $t = 1.7$, $p < 0.05$ and 2.0 p *<* 0.01, respectively). Since no significant differences in species composition and traits occurrences were tested among stations gathered in Po di Gnocca and Po di Tolle transects, this factor ('distributary channel') were not considered for the subsequent results.

The CWM values of the study area showed higher occurrences for categories: *endofauna*, *semi-motile*, and *iteroparous*. On the contrary, less represented categories (CWM values *<* 0.05) of traits were: *epifauna*, *adult longevity* ≤ 1 *year and 6–10 years, herbivore, scavenger and regenerator* ([Fig. 2](#page-7-0)).

In particular, significant variations in categories belonging to 'adult mobility', 'adult movement method', 'reproductive frequency', 'adult feeding habit' and 'bioturbation' traits, were observed between stations located nearby the Po di Pila mouth and the southern ones, and between nearshore *vs* offshore sites [\(Fig. 3\)](#page-8-0). *Semi-motile* organisms were dominant at northern stations ($z = 2.3$; $p < 0.05$). On the contrary, sites located in the southern part of the Po River prodelta were characterized by high

expression of *swimmer*, *herbivore* and *predator* modalities ($z = -2.1$, 2.3, 2.1; p *<* 0.05) ([Fig. 3](#page-8-0)a and b). High expression of *sessile* and *tubebuilder* invertebrates was observed at nearshore stations, compared to *burrower* organisms that were dominant at deeper sites ($z = 2.7, 2.7$, 2.3; p *<* 0.05, respectively). As 'reproductive frequency' trait, the *semelparous* modality dominated at nearshore stations located in front of the Pila mouth $(z = 2.2; p < 0.05$, respectively). Further, a high expression of *suspension* and *surface deposit feeder* invertebrates was observed at stations closest to the shore ($z = 2.2$; $p < 0.05$ and $z = 3.6$ p *<* 0.01, respectively), whereas high expression of *subsurface deposit feeder* ones was noticed at deeper stations ($z = -2.4$; $p < 0.05$). Concerning the 'bioturbation' trait, higher values of *superficial modifier* and *conveyor modalities were found at shallower stations (* $z = 3.2$ *, 2.7; p <* 0.01) whereas *biodiffuser* animals were more abundant at offshore ones $(z = -3.5; p < 0.001$, respectively) ([Fig. 3c](#page-8-0) and d).

3.3. Taxa assemblage, traits occurrences and relationship with environmental variables

DistLM, applied to both structural and functional variables ($R^2 = 0.5$) for both matrices, separately) revealed that sand and clay were the main drivers of the species assemblage (Pseudo-F $=$ 3.2, 2.5; p $<$ 0.001, respectively), followed by Prt/Cho and Phaeo/Chl-*a* ratios, BPC and salinity (Pseudo-F = 2.3, 2.1, 2.1, 1.8; p < 0.05, respectively). Sand and salinity were the main drivers of traits occurrences (Pseudo-F = 3.8, p < 0.01 and 3.6, p *<* 0.05, respectively). Further, DistLM, applied to both species composition and CWM values ($R^2 = 0.7$ for both matrices), revealed that Hg, Pb, and As were somehow related to the species assemblage (Pseudo-F ¼ 3.0, 2.4; p *<* 0.01 and 2.3; p *<* 0.05, respectively), and PAHs to community traits occurrences (Pseudo-F $= 2.6$; p $<$ 0.05).

The vector fitting nMDS analysis based on the macrofaunal assemblage of the study area showed a spatial variation in taxa composition, in particular from stations located nearby the main river distributary mouth (Po di Pila) to southern and deeper ones. BPC, Prt/Cho and sand were placed close to the northernmost and shallower stations (left-hand and lower side of the ordination), whereas salinity, clay and Phaeo/Chl*a* were located towards southern and offshore stations (right-hand and lower side of the plot) [\(Fig. 4a](#page-9-0)). A variation in species distribution from

Table 5

Taxa contribution to average dissimilarity between stations grouped into the transect 'north-south' and sea bottom depths (SIMPER analysis; cut-off at 60%). Av. = average abundance; $SD =$ standard deviation; Contrib% = species contribution to average dissimilarity between groups.

Fig. 2. Shade plot representing the trait-categories occurrences (community weighted means –CWM) of macrofaunal community at the sampling area and periods. Sites are displayed along a north-south direction. See [Table 2](#page-3-0) for trait labels.

the left side of the plot (i.e. C8 in both sampling periods) to the right lower part (i.e. C23 in December) was displayed. This spatial distribution was likely due to the dominance of the polychaetes *Heteromastus filiformis, Owenia fusiformis* and the bivalve *Chamelea gallina* at stations positioned nearby to main river distributary mouth, whereas the polychaetes *Labioleanira yhleni* and *Sternaspis scutata* characterized the offshore sites ([Fig. 4b](#page-9-0)). Moreover, in the vector fitting nMDS performed with contaminants highlighted by DistLM; Hg, Pb, As and PAHs were plotted close to the offshore stations (Fig. S1).

Two RLQ combined analyses were carried out on trait-categories occurrences expressions belonging to *response* and *effect* attributes ([Figs. 5 and 6\)](#page-10-0). The RLQ analysis, performed on *response* traits accounted for 88.0%, of total variance (RLQ1: 73.2% and RLQ2: 14.8%; [Fig. 5](#page-10-0)a). Clay and Corg were the predominant elements of the positive part of RLQ1 axis related with *interface* and *crawler* as trait-categories. These associations largely matched the characteristics of the polychaete *L. yhleni*. Conversely, in the negative part of RLQ1 increasing values of sand corresponded to high occurrences of *sessile* and *endofauna* modalities. The latter was associated with the polychaete *O. fusiformis* and the bivalves *Striarca lactea,* and *Corbula gibba*. The positive part of RLQ2 revealed that *burrower* modality, mainly expressed by the polychaete *S. scutata* and the bivalve *Nucula nucleus*, corresponded to high percentage of silt. The negative part of RLQ2 showed that Prt/Cho ratio was associated with *tube-builder* trait categories. The main corresponding taxa were the polychaetes *O. fusiformis* and *Melinna palmata.*

The RLQ carried out on *effect* traits clearly distinguished northern stations from southern and offshore ones ([Fig. 6](#page-11-0)a). The first RLQ axis accounted for 73.3%, whereas the second axis represented 17.4% of the total variance of RLQ analysis (90.7%). In the positive part of RLQ1 and negative one of RL2 (right hand side of [Fig. 6c](#page-11-0)), higher percentages of silt, clay, Corg and Phaeo/Chl-*a* ratios corresponded to high occurrences of *adult longevity 1*–*3 years*, *subsurface deposit feeder* and *biodiffuser* modalities, mainly expressed by the polychaete *S. scutata*, the bivalve *Kurtiella bidentata* and the echinoderm *Amphiura chiajei.* Sand and Prt/ Cho ratio were the predominant elements of the negative part of RLQ1, related principally with *suspension feeder* and *superficial modifier* traitcategories*.* These associations largely matched the characteristics of the polychaete *O. fusiformis* and the bivalve *C. gibba.* In addition, the Q canonical weight plot ([Fig. 6b](#page-11-0)) highlighted high occurrences of *conveyor* and *semelparous* modalities due to the major density of the polychaete

H. filiformis observed at C3a.

The linear regression highlighted significant relationships between modalities belonging to 'Sediment position', 'Mobility', 'Movement method', 'Feeding strategy' and 'Bioturbation' with salinity, grain-size, BPC, Prt/Cho and Phaeo/Chl-*a* ratios. Moreover, a significant relationship was found between endofauna surface deposit feeders and lower values of Hg and Pb. Significant linear regressions were observed between sessile, no bioturbation and superficial modifier and lower PAHs contents. On contrary, *biodiffuser* and *regenerator* as modalities showed a relation with As and Pb (Table S3).

4. Discussion

We explored the structural and functional features of the macrofaunal community influenced by high river loads. Grain-size, sand and clay in particular, were found to be the main environmental drivers related to taxonomic and functional features of macrofaunal assemblages at large scale (the entire investigated area). Conversely, at small spatial scale (in front of the Pila mouth), the quantity and quality of food supply influenced the structure of the community. In particular, at offshore stations the lower species numbers and diversity index values, indicating a less structured community, are likely related to the lower quality of the organic matter (high Phaeo/Chl-*a*). Moreover, we infer that the high variations in functional diversity and species composition observed in the Po River prodelta were likely due to the variability of river-derived inputs. However, high functional diversity and redundancy observed in the shallow area in front of the main river mouth could be related to the high efficiency of invertebrates to utilize available resources, principally of riverine origin. The clear influence of river loads was deduced by the occurrence of certain *response* traits. *Sessile* and *tube-builder* organisms were noticed at stations characterized by high superficial current velocity but low turbidity. On the contrary, a major expression of *burrowers, interface crawlers* and *swimmers* occurred at southern and principally offshore muddy stations. Focusing on *effect* traits, we observed those traits which have potentially affected ecosystem properties. At stations characterized by either high and continuous or intermittent deposition of fresh, river-derived material, *suspension feeders* (obtaining food by actively sweeping or holding out a filter), *surface deposit feeders* (obtaining food from the surface of the substratum) and *conveyor* invertebrates were well represented. All are

Fig. 3. Community-weighted mean of trait-categories occurrences (CWM), average values for sampling stations gathered as north (a), south (b), nearshore (c) and offshore (d) sites. Colour code and individual bars represent trait affiliation and trait-categories occurrences, respectively (See [Table 2](#page-3-0) for trait labels). Variables with a circle explain significant (*U* test) difference between northern and southern stations while those with a star explain significant difference between nearshore *vs* offshore ones. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

fundamental players in the benthic-pelagic coupling and elemental cycling within benthos. On the contrary, where lower and continuous river deposition occur, the elemental cycling within benthos and decomposition were amplified by *bioddiffuser* invertebrates that are able to rework huge amounts of sediments also in the deepest layers.

4.1. Environmental background

In this study, we sampled the coastal macrofaunal community in two periods characterized by different river flows. In October 2014, sampling was performed after a prolonged period of lean river flow. In the two weeks preceding the campaign, there was no substantial rainfall and the river outfall was therefore modest (Fig. S2). In contrast, in December 2014 a rapid-response survey was conducted following the most relevant flood of the year (November 19th) (Fig. S2, [Braga et al., 2017](#page-13-0)). Despite these different river flow conditions, the PERMANOVA main-test performed on sediment grain size, organic matter features and

most of the considered contaminants did not highlight any statistical differences between the two periods. According to Tesi and co-authors (2011), during low-to-moderate flow conditions, sediment deposition is rapid and occurs within 2 km from the river mouth. Conversely, with high river run-off, sediments are transported further into the prodelta because a thick plume increases the resident time of particles in the water column. Therefore, since in December the campaign was carried out soon after a major plume [\(Braga et al., 2017\)](#page-13-0), it is likely that there was not enough time to allow the deposition of river-driven material into the seabed. Moreover, only two months elapsed between the two sampling periods, which could not suffice to observe a clear temporal pattern in physical-chemical variables of surface sediments.

On the other hand, differences in physical-chemical variables between north *vs* south coastal stations, and between nearshore *vs* offshore ones, confirm that the spatial variation in the prodelta area is due to the decreasing amount of terrigenous material settled to the seabed with increasing distance from the Pila mouth ([Boldrin et al., 2005;](#page-13-0) [Tesi et al.,](#page-14-0)

Fig. 4. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordination plot of the macrofaunal community in the study area with the physical-chemical parameters a). Species with high cumulative percentage by SIMPER analysis (cut off 60%) are represented b) and denoted by a letter: $a = Abra$ *alba*; $b = Acmira$ *assimilis*; $c =$ *Acrocnida branchiata*; d = *Ampelisca intermedia*; e = *Chamelea gallina*; f = *Corbula gibba*; g = *Dosina lupinius*; h = *Heteromastus filiformis*; i = *Kurtiella bidentata*; j = *Labioleanira yhleni*; k = Moerella distorta; l = Nepthys hystricis; m = Nereis lamellosa; n = Notomastus aberans; o = Nucula nucleus; p = Owenia fusiformis; q = Paphia *aurea*; r = Peronida albicans; s = Pseudoleiocapitella fauveli; t = Spisula subtruncata; u = Sternaspis scutata; v = Striarca lactea. Transects are indicated in different colours and 'nearshore or offshore' position in different shapes. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

[2011\)](#page-14-0). The C:N ratio (*>*10) was remarkably higher at the northern stations (C6, C8), suggesting a continental/plant origin of the organic matter rather than a marine one [\(Rumolo et al., 2011](#page-14-0); [Miserocchi et al.,](#page-14-0) [2007\)](#page-14-0). The dissimilarity between nearshore *vs* offshore stations was likely ascribable to different hydrodynamics and the grain-size spatial pattern, suggesting the presence of two diverse sedimentary matrices. Although mud (silt $+$ clay) content prevailed at all stations, the percentage of sand was higher at the shallower stations and those located nearby the Po Pila mouth (the main distributary).

4.2. Macrofaunal community structure and spatial distribution in prodelta area

The benthic community structure of the Po River prodelta is typical of estuarine environments in congruence with studies worldwide (e.g. [Hermand et al., 2008](#page-14-0); [Occhipinti-Ambrogi et al., 2005;](#page-14-0) [Thrush et al.,](#page-14-0) [2004\)](#page-14-0). The high variability and the strong gradient of the environmental features may differently affect the spatial distribution of macrofaunal invertebrate species in the prodelta area. In fact, the sampling design was conceived to assess the influence of the river delta channels on the macrozoobenthic community features at increasing distance from the main distributary mouth (Po di Pila) along the southward river plume. As highlighted by PERMANOVA main–test, significant differences were found in macrofaunal community structure (i.e. species composition) between northern *vs* southern, and nearshore *vs* offshore stations, confirming the high spatial heterogeneity in this area. At a large scale, this difference is mainly due to the grain size distribution pattern, where sand and clay were the main drivers (by DistLM analysis). According to previous studies [\(Ambrogi et al., 1990;](#page-13-0) N'[Siala et al., 2008](#page-14-0)), species such as the bivalves *Peronidia albicans, Spisula subtruncata, Chamelea gallina* and the polychaete *Owenia fusiformis* were found to be dominant in the area near the main distributary mouth (i.e. northern stations). These species are commonly observed in muddy-sand sediments and belong to the SFBC bioconeosis ("Sable Fins Bien Calibré", Pérès and Picard, [1964\)](#page-14-0). In particular, *O. fusiformis* is typical of estuarine environments ([Ambrogi et al., 1995; Dauvin, 1992](#page-13-0); [Pinedo et al., 2000](#page-14-0)), and is found especially nearby the riverine mouths. The synergic effect of higher hydrodynamics, led the deposition of sandy particles favouring the proliferation of this species. Conversely, at the southern and offshore stations, species inhabiting sediments with small grain-size particles (i.e. the polychaete *Sternaspis scutata,* the gastropod *Turritella communis* and

the echinoderm *Oestergrenia digitata*) were observed. These species are common in estuarine/delta areas, as they typically belong to the Mediterranean VTC ("Vaseux Terrigène Côtière", biocoenosis of terrigenous mud), above all *S. scutata*, commonly observed in sediments influenced by river-derived deposition ([Hermand et al., 2008](#page-14-0) and references therein).

At a smaller scale, other factors such as the quantity and quality of food supply are known to influence the macroinvertebrate distribution ([Thrush et al., 2004](#page-14-0)). According to DIstLM model and nMDS ordination (see Fig. 4), species in northern-shallower sites were clearly distinguished from those inhabiting the offshore and southern ones, and the main drivers of this difference were BPC, Prt/Cho and Phaeo/Chl-*a* ratios. Nearby the main river mouth, the macrofaunal community was influenced by the supply of high-quality food, as suggested by the higher contribution of proteins compared to carbohydrates (Dell'[Anno et al.,](#page-13-0) [2002\)](#page-13-0). In contrast, the organic matter at the southern deeper stations was more aged (lower Prt/Cho ratios). The high ratio of Phaeo/Chl-*a* could indicate more degraded organic matter that is less palatable for macrofaunal invertebrates ([Bongiorni et al., 2018](#page-13-0)). Therefore, our results suggest that the lower species numbers and diversity values at southern stations, indicating a less structured community, could be related to a lesser quality of organic matter.

The high variations in biodiversity and species composition observed at stations located nearby the Po River mouth were likely due to the variability of terrigenous-river inputs. In fact, in our study area, the different river loads are important factors in the synecology of species. [Braga et al., 2017](#page-13-0) by using a Landsat 8 imagery, and [Maicu et al. \(2018\)](#page-14-0), by applying an unstructured 3D numerical model revealed that the northern part of Po delta, in particular the Pila mouth and the central area (i.e. C6 and C8), are characterized by intermittent freshwater riverine pulses. In these water masses, different current velocities and turbidity levels are established that are strictly dependent on the magnitude of the flood event, but are usually highest in front of main mouth and lowest few km southward. In fact, in spite of a relatively short distance (i.e. 1 km) between the nearshore C3a and the offshore C3b, we observed remarkable differences in Shannon Wiener's diversity index and overall species composition (see [Table 4](#page-5-0) and Fig. 4). Station C3a was characterized by high macrofaunal density, in particular of the polychaete *Heteromastus filiformis* (>1000 ind. m⁻²). Our result agrees with those of [Selen-Picard et al. \(2003\)](#page-14-0) and [Hermand et al. \(2008\),](#page-14-0) who related this taxon to high and continuous fresh organic matter

 $Corr$

 \tilde{C} lay

Fig. 5. RLQ diagram as defined by two first axes with a projection of sampling sites a), *response* trait categories b) and, environmental variables c). The d value in the upper right of the corner is the scale of each graph. Transects are indicated in different colours and 'nearshore or offshore' position in different shapes. Abiotic variables with asterisk explained the best variability (DisTLM analysis) in species and traits composition. See [Table 2](#page-3-0) for complete trait labels. The drawn species, that principally drive the traits occurrences (from top to bottom) are: *Sternaspis scutata*, *Labioleanira yhleni*, *Ampelisca intermedia*, *Owenia fusiformis, Striarca lactea* and *Nucula nucleus*. Prt/Cho (protein to carbon ratio); Phaeo/ Cha-*a* (phaeopigments to chlorophyll-*a* ratio). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

deposition. Conversely, at C3b we observed a high dominance of a few species, especially *S. scutata*. This taxon reaches high density in fine sediments not subjected to grain-size variation, and influenced by lower but continuous deposition of river-derived material ([Touzani and Gir](#page-15-0)[esse, 2002](#page-15-0)). Furthermore, at stations in the central southern part of the prodelta, we observed the simultaneous high abundance of sandy species (i.e. *P. albicans, S. subtruncata, C. gallina and O. fusiformis*), muddy species (i.e. *Abra alba* and *Nucula nucleus*) and a large dominance of the bivalve *Corbula gibba* (see [Fig. 4](#page-9-0)b). The latter is widespread in soft bottoms of the northern Adriatic Sea and is commonly related to environmental instability. Our result agrees with [Aleffi and Bettoso \(2000\)](#page-13-0) and [Brenko \(2006\)](#page-13-0), who found high density of *C. gibba* at shallower stations of the delta Po River coastal area characterized by inconstant sediment deposition. In fact, this area is influenced by high organic loads from river distributaries and the lower deposition of fine suspended material from the southernmost Po di Pila plume ([Tesi et al., 2011](#page-14-0); [Maicu et al., 2018](#page-14-0)). In addition, a different spatial pattern in community composition was highlighted between nearshore *vs* offshore stations (by PERMANOVA test). On one hand, organisms at stations nearby the coastline are subjected to an intermittent stress induced by flood events of different magnitude, leading to communities that are highly adapted to this kind of environmental stress. On the other hand, at deeper stations we observed a more stable community typical of areas influenced by lower and continuous river-driven material deposition ([Selen-Picard](#page-14-0) [et al., 2003; Tesi et al., 2011](#page-14-0)).

4.3. Functional characteristics

The functional diversity did not show any relation with biodiversity, except for stations where a few species were dominant. In fact, the low values of H' and FDiv, observed in front of the Pila mouth (C3b and C8 in December) and in the southern part of the prodelta (C19 in December), were due to the high density of polychaetes *Sternaspis scutata* (at C3b and C19) and *Owenia fusiformis* (in C6 and C8). The continuous river-plume deposition might have influenced the stability of the community at these stations, where a few species likely performed few biological functions. On the contrary, we obtained the highest value of FDiv at the shallower stations nearby the main distributary (i.e. C3a). The high functional diversity combined with a low H['] value at C3a indicates the presence of functional redundancy in this particular site meaning that several species perform the same functions and therefore the community might be less susceptible to changes in ecosystem functions caused by species loss ([Gladstone-Gallagher et al., 2019](#page-13-0)). Furthermore, we observed a great variability of FDiv/H' at the three stations located in front of the Po di Pila compared to the southern ones ([Table 4](#page-5-0)). In accordance with previous studies (i.e. [Leung, 2015\)](#page-14-0), the habitat heterogeneity at the main Po

Fig. 6. RLQ diagram as defined by two first axes with a projection of sampling sites a), *effect* trait categories b) and, environmental variables c). The d value in the upper right of the corner is the scale of each graph. Transects are indicated in different colours and 'nearshore or offshore' position in different shapes. Abiotic variables with asterisk explained the best variability (DisTLM analysis) in species and traits composition. Transects are indicated in different colours and 'nearshore or offshore' position in different shapes. See [Table 2](#page-3-0) for complete trait labels. The drawn species, that principally drive the traits-occurrences (from top to bottom) are: *Heteromastus filiformis, Sternaspis scutata*, *Amphiura chiajei*, *Owenia fusiformis, Corbula gibba*. Prt/Cho (protein to carbon ratio); Phaeo/Cha-*a* (phaeopigments to chlorophyll-*a* ratio). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

River mouth could have affected the functional diversity at this site. The station dominated by *S. scutata* displayed lower FDiv and low functional redundancy, indicating a minor overlapping of functional niches among species, and thus this site was likely more susceptible to species loss than other habitats. The high functional diversity and redundancy obtained at C1 and C3a, and C10a as well, could be related to the high efficiency of invertebrates to utilize available resources, principally of river origin ([Bongiorni et al., 2018](#page-13-0)) to perform their functions, thus shaping the ecosystem properties.

Regarding the functional identity, similarly to macrofaunal structural analysis, significant difference between northern *vs* southern coastal stations, and between nearshore *vs* offshore ones were observed. Since the BTA is based on species composition, some similarities between the traits occurrences and species composition were expected. However, [Nasi et al. \(2018\)](#page-14-0) did not find any spatial difference in trait composition among sampling sites, in spite of the high variability in taxa composition. In the Po River prodelta, the significant spatial differences in taxa and functional expression further confirmed the high heterogeneity of this dynamic system.

Focusing on *response* traits, we observed a clear influence of high river loads on coastal macrofaunal community (see [Fig. 5a](#page-10-0)). Following a diverse grain-size distribution pattern along the prodelta coastline, at northern sites, we detected a dominance of *sessile* and *tube-builder* due to the major abundance of *O. fusiformis* present especially at C8. This species is strictly dependent on the grain-size distribution, since to construct its tube it needs fine sand with a certain percentage of siltyclay material (*>*5%) [\(Pinedo et al., 2000](#page-14-0)). In addition, *sessile* invertebrates are not able to live in areas influenced by continuous deposition of sediment particles [\(Thrush et al., 2004](#page-14-0)). Indeed, we noticed high occurrence of *sessile* invertebrates, in particular endofauna bivalves, such as *Striarca lactea* at stations characterized by low turbidity and higher surface current velocities [\(Braga et al., 2017\)](#page-13-0). Conversely, at stations characterized by finer sediments (*>*70% of silt), *burrower* invertebrates dominated. *Burrower* species are very common in muddy sediments of coastal areas [\(Gogina et al., 2017](#page-13-0); [Nasi et al., 2020](#page-14-0)), since they are able to penetrate from 10 to 30 cm into sediments layers ([Kristensen et al., 2012](#page-14-0)). In addition, we observed high occurrences of *interface*, *swimmer* and *crawler* modalities toward the southern stations and offshore ones, where increasing values of clay fraction and salinity were observed. The low river influence at these stations might have improved the sediment status and therefore the ability to live at the water-sediment interface.

Regarding the *effect* traits and their potential shaping of ecosystem properties of delta area, we evidenced a clear spatial pattern comparing northern *vs* southern stations, and nearshore *vs* offshore ones. Our results further revealed that the grain-size together with the quality of organic matter (i.e. Prt/Cho and Phaeo/Chal-*a*) were highly related with *effect* traits occurrences (see Fig. 6c). In the prodelta area, we observed a

shift from *conveyor, surface deposit feeder* modalities at northern and shallower stations in front of the Pila mouth, to *superficial modifier* and *suspension feeder* invertebrates at stations located in the central part of the delta system, and the occurrences of *biodiffuser* and *subsurface deposit feeder* categories at offshore stations. The modalities *surface deposit feeders* and *conveyors* at these stations were principally due to the highest abundance of the polychaete *Heteromastus filiformis*. This invertebrate is usually dominant in sandy-mud and, in particular, where high deposition of organic matter occurs ([Hermand et al., 2008;](#page-14-0) [Marchini et al.,](#page-14-0) [2008\)](#page-14-0), mostly displaying an opportunistic behaviour with fast growth, early maturity and high fecundity (Méndez et al., 2000). In particular, *semi-continuous* and *semelparous* trait-categories, highly dominant at stations in front of the Pila mouth, are features of short-lived invertebrates that increase in abundance when a fresh food supply is available (higher Prt/Cho), rapidly stimulating the growth, reproduction rate and survivorship of the species having these functional features ([Cruz-Rivera and Hay, 2000; Gray and Elliott, 2009](#page-13-0); Quijón [et al., 2008](#page-14-0)). In addition, being *conveyor* organisms, they are able to feed on sinking particles from the water column, move sediment grains through their gut by ingestion and secretion, transfer particles from deeper to superficial layers and vice-versa, thus enhancing the organic matter remineralization [\(Kristensen et al., 2012](#page-14-0)).

The continuous and high load of terrigenous material from a river is known to affect suspension-feeding animals by clogging feeding structures, interfering with particles selection, and require the use of energy to clear away unvented particles [\(Thrush et al., 2004\)](#page-14-0). In fact, in the central part of the Po delta system, where high current velocities and low turbidity were reported ([Braga et al., 2017](#page-13-0); [Maicu et al., 2018\)](#page-14-0), we observed a high dominance of *suspension feeder* on the account of *O. fusiformis* and the bivalve*Corbula gibba*. The sediment features of these stations (i.e. higher percentage of sand and Prt/Cho) coupled with intermittent freshwater riverine pulses might have led to the proliferation of these two species able to amplify the benthic-pelagic coupling. In fact, *suspension feeders* can capture large quantities of suspended organic matter and phytoplankton, and then incorporate them into the sediments through pseudo-faeces, stimulating the microbial loop (Törnroos [and Bonsdorff, 2012\)](#page-15-0).

Offshore stations were characterized by high expression of modalities *biodiffuser* and *deposit feeders,* on the account of *S. scutata* and the echinoderm *Amphiura chiajei. Biodiffusers* are dominant in muddy sediments since they are able to constantly and randomly biomix (both horizontally and vertically) the local sediments over a short distance, which results in particles transport (Queirós [et al., 2015](#page-14-0)). Further, the presence of aged organic matter (high Phaeo/Chl-*a*) at southern stations did not prevent their survivorship. In fact, these animals, in particular polychaetes, are considered non-selective deposit feeders [\(Lopez and](#page-14-0) [Levinton, 1987\)](#page-14-0) able to feed on both fresh and aged organic matter, promoting nutrient cycling within sediment layers ([Tornroos](#page-15-0) and [Bonsdroff, 2012](#page-15-0)). Commonly, *S. scutata* is a deep burrowing subsurface deposit feeder living below 4 cm of depth and is able to use the food resource provided by river floods later on and over a longer period of time ([Selen-Picard et al., 2003](#page-14-0)).

4.4. Structural and functional macrofaunal features in relation to contaminants

In coastal sediments of the Po delta system, we did not find any significant spatial pattern in most of the contaminants. The higher concentration of Cr and Ni detected along the Po River prodelta coastline is known to be due to leaching of sedimentary ophiolite complexes, which emerge in the Western Alps and some areas of the Italian Apennine. The elevated Cr and Ni backgrounds are therefore a geogenic character of the Po River alluvial sediments and likely unrelated to anthropogenic contamination ([Bianchini et al., 2013;](#page-13-0) [Lopes-Rocha et al.,](#page-14-0) [2017\)](#page-14-0). We observed higher concentrations of Hg, Pb, As and PAHs, at increasing distance from the main Po River mouth, confirming the high

amount of river-driven material deposition (principally of fine sediment particles) towards the southern and offshore area of the prodelta [\(Pal](#page-14-0)[inkas and Nittrouer, 2007](#page-14-0); [Tesi et al., 2011](#page-14-0)). This finding was previously reported in other studies [\(Readman et al., 2002](#page-14-0); [Silva et al., 2004;](#page-14-0) [Yao](#page-15-0) [et al., 2015\)](#page-15-0) in which a clear spatial pattern in grain-size and contaminants distribution was found. In fact, heavy metals and PAHs tend to be adsorbed on suspended particles and their concentration generally increase as particle size decreases.

The co-variation of sedimentary variables with contaminants has emerged in other studies aimed to detect the effect of pollution or other anthropogenic disturbances on benthic assemblages (e.g. [Egres et al.,](#page-13-0) [2019\)](#page-13-0). Disentangling the effect of contamination from other physical-chemical factors is challenging since the taxonomic and functional features of benthic assemblages are largely driven by sediment characteristics ([Bolam et al., 2016](#page-13-0); [Breine et al., 2018;](#page-13-0) [Oug et al., 2012](#page-14-0)). This limits our ability to distinguish responses of benthic communities to anthropogenic disturbances from those linked to natural variability. However, in our study area we observed low values of the considered contaminants, if compared with those measured in other estuarine areas (Pilò [et al., 2016](#page-14-0)), coastal basins ([Nasi et al., 2018\)](#page-14-0) and harbours (Cibic [et al., 2017](#page-13-0); [Trannum et al., 2004](#page-15-0)). Therefore, we can assume that the macrofaunal community of the Po delta system is not severely affected by contamination. Further, estuarine/delta areas are concomitantly subjected to stress of natural and anthropogenic origin. According to the Estuarine Quality Paradox, *sensu* [Dauvin \(2007\),](#page-13-0) in naturally stressed estuarine ecosystems it is difficult to detect anthropogenic stress. The estuarine benthic communities that are well adapted to natural environmental instability display similar responses to those suffering from human-induced stress.

5. Conclusion

This study represents the first attempt to investigate the structural and functional aspects of the macrofaunal community in the Po River coastal area. Our results highlighted a clear dissimilarity in community structure and species composition among sites differently impacted by river-derived terrigenous material. Changes in community structure were due to the dominance of few species. The polychaetes *Heteromastus filiformis* was dominant at shallower stations characterized by high and continuous terrigenous depositions, whereas *Owenia fusiformis* and the bivalve *Corbula gibba*, indicators of environmental instability, were found in sediments influenced by intermittent river loads. At the offshore and southern muddy stations, high abundances of the polychaete *Sternaspis scutata*, influenced by lower but continuous deposition of river-derived material, were observed.

In addition, different river-plume depositions remarkably influenced the effect traits of the macrofaunal community whereas no clear spatial pattern was observed in response traits. However, as response traits, *sessile* and *tube-builder* invertebrates were noticed at stations characterized by high surface current velocities but low turbidity. On the contrary, a major expression of *burrowers*, *interface crawlers* and *swimmers* occurred at southern and principally offshore muddy stations. For *effect* traits, *suspension* and *surface deposit feeders* as well as *conveyors* modalities dominated in sandier sediments in the northern part of the prodelta, whereas *subsurface deposit feeders* and *biodiffusers* prevailed in the southern part, characterized by lower but continuous deposition of finer particles. In highly dynamic systems, as delta areas, the presence of *conveyor* and *biodiffuser* invertebrates able to exploit different resources through bioturbation activities may enhance the functions of riverine costal ecosystems.

Our findings indicate that by combining the classic taxonomic approach, which considers the structural characteristics of the macrofaunal community, with a novel approach, based on the functional attributes of these organisms, more insight in the functioning of highly dynamic systems, such as delta areas, could be gained. The deepening of the knowledge on macrofaunal structural and functional attributes

might be integrated in marine monitoring programs for a better management of coastal ecosystems in particular of dynamic environments such as delta areas.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Federica Nasi: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft. **Rocco Auriemma:** Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing - original draft. **Federica Relitti:** Formal analysis, Investigation, Resources. **Matteo Bazzaro:** Investigation, Resources. **Daniele Cassin:** Methodology, Investigation, Resources. **Tamara Cibic:** Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition.

Acknowledgements

The activities were funded by the Flagship Project RITMARE - La Ricerca ITaliana per il MARE - The Italian Research for the Sea, coordinated by the National Research Council and funded by the Ministry for Education, University and Research. We thank very much the captain and the crew of R/V OGS Explora and R/V Dallaporta for their assistance during the oceanography cruises on October and December 2014, respectively. In particular we are grateful to the researcher and technician colleagues of OGS and CNR-ISMAR, who have contributed in different ways to sampling activities.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106548) [org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106548](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106548).

References

- [Akoumianaki, I., Nicolaidou, A., 2007. Spatial variability and dynamics of macrobenthos](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref2) [in a Mediterranean delta front area: the role of physical processes. J. Sea Res. 57,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref2) 47–[64](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref2).
- [Aleffi, F., Bettoso, N., 2000. Distribution of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref3) *Corbula gibba* (Bivalvia, corbulidae) in the [northern Adriatic Sea. Ann. Ser. Hist. Nat. 10 \(2\), 173](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref3)–180.
- [Ambrogi, R., Occhipinti-Ambrogi, A., 1987. Temporal variations of secondary production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref4) in the marine bivalve *Spisula subtruncata* [off the Po river delta \(Italy\). Estuar. Coast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref4) [Shelf Sci. 25 \(3\), 369](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref4)–379.
- [Ambrogi, R., Bedulli, D., Zurijni, G., 1990. Spatial and temporal patterns in structure of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref5) [macrobenthic assemblages. A three-year study in the northern Adriatic Sea in front](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref5) [of the Po River Delta. Mar. Ecol. 11 \(1\), 25](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref5)–41.
- [Ambrogi, R., Fontana, P., Gambi, M.C., 1995. Population dynamics and estimate of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref6) secondary production of *Owenia fusiformis* [Delle Chiaje \(Polychaeta, Oweniidae\) in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref6) [the coastal area of the Po river Delta \(Italy\). In: Biology and Ecology of Shallow](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref6) [Coastal Waters. Olsen and Olsen, Fredensborg, pp. 207](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref6)–214.
- Beauchard, O., Veríssimo, H., Queirós, A.M., Herman, P.M.J., 2017. The use of multiple [biological traits in marine community ecology and its potential in ecological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref8) [indicator development. Ecol. Indicat. 76, 81](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref8)–96.
- [Bianchini, G., Di Giuseppe, D., Natali, C., Beccaluva, L., 2013. Ophiolite inheritance in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref9) [the Po plain sediments: insights on heavy metals distribution and risk assessment.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref9) [Ofioliti 38, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref9)–14.
- [Blasutto, O., Cibic, T., De Vittor, C., Fonda Umani, S., 2005. Microphytobenthic primary](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref10) [production and sedimentary carbohydrates along salinity gradients in the lagoons of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref10) [Grado and Marano \(Northern Adriatic Sea\). Hydrobiologia 550, 47](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref10)–55.
- [Bligh, E.G., Dyer, W., 1959. A rapid method for total lipid extraction and purification.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref11) [Can. J. Biochem. Physiol. 37 \(8\), 911](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref11)–917.
- [Bolam, S.G., McIlwaine, P.S.O., Garcia, C., 2016. Application of biological traits to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref12) [further our understanding of the impacts of dredged material disposal on benthic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref12) [assemblages. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 105 \(1\), 180](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref12)–192.
- [Boldrin, A., Langone, L., Miserocchi, S., Turchetto, A., Acri, F., 2005. Po River plume on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref13) [the Adriatic continental shelf: dispersion and sedimentation of dissolved and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref13) [suspended matter during different river discharge rates. Mar. Geol. 222, 135](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref13)–158.
- Bongiorni, L., Nasi, F., Fiorentino, F., Auriemma, R., Rampazzo, F., Nordström, M.C., [Berto, D., 2018. Contribution of delta wetland food sources to coastal macrobenthic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref14) [consumers \(Po River Delta, north Adriatic Sea\). Sci. Total Environ. 643, 1373](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref14)–1386.
- [Braga, F., Zaggia, L., Bellafiore, D., Bresciani, M., Giardino, C., Lorenzetti, G., et al.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref15) [2017. Mapping turbidity patterns in the Po river prodelta using multi-temporal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref15) [Landsat 8 imagery. Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 198, 555](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref15)–567.
- [Breine, N.T., De Backer, A., Van Colen, C., Moens, T., Hostens, K., Van Hoey, G., 2018.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref16) [Structural and functional diversity of soft-bottom macrobenthic communities in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref16) [Southern North Sea. Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 214, 173](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref16)–184.
- [Bremner, J., Rogers, S.I., Frid, C.L.J., 2006. Methods for describing ecological](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref17) [functioning of marine benthic assemblages using biological traits analysis \(BTA\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref17) [Ecol. Indicat. 6, 609](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref17)–622.
- [Brenko, M., 2006. The basket shell,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref18) *Corbula gibba* Olivi, 1792 (Bivalve Mollusks) as a [species resistant to environmental disturbances: a review. Acta Adriat.: Int. J. Mar.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref18) [Sci. 47 \(1\), 49](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref18)–64.
- [Cassin, D., Dominik, J., Botter, M., Zonta, R., 2018. PAH and PCB contamination in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref19) [sediments of the Venice Lagoon \(Italy\) before the installation of the MOSE flood](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref19) [defence works. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Control Ser. 25 \(25\), 24951](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref19)–24964.
- [Chevenet, F., Doleadec, S., Chessel, D., 1994. A fuzzy coding approach for the analysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref20) [long-term ecological data. Freshw. Biol. 31, 295](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref20)–309.
- [Cibic, T., Franzo, A., Nasi, F., Auriemma, R., Del Negro, P., 2017. The port of Trieste](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref21) [\(northern Adriatic Sea\)-a case study of the" ecosystem approach to management.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref21) [Front. Mar. Sci. 4, 336](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref21).
- [Clarke, K.R., Gorley, R.N., Somerfield, P.J., Warwick, R.M., 2014. An Approach to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref22) [Statistical Analysis and Interpretation. Change in Marine Communities, third ed.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref22) [PRIMER-E, Plymouth, p. 260](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref22).
- [Cruz-Rivera, E., Hay, M.E., 2000. Can quantity replace quality? Food choice,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref24)
- [compensatory feeding, and fitness of marine mesograzers. Ecology 81, 201](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref24)–219. [Danovaro, R., Manini, E., Fabiano, M., 2002. Exoenzymatic activity and organic matter](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref25) [composition in sediments of the Northern Adriatic Sea: response to a river plume.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref25) [Microb. Ecol. 443, 235](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref25)–251.
- Dauvin, J.C., 1992. Cinétique du recrutement et croissance des juvéniles d'Owenia *fusiformis* [Delle Chiaje en Baie de Seine \(Manche orientale\). Oceanol. Acta 15 \(2\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref26) 187–[196](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref26).
- [Dauvin, J.C., 2007. Paradox of estuarine quality: benthic indicators and indices,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref27) [consensus or debate for the future. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 55 \(1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref27)–6), 271–281.
- Dell'[Anno, A., Mei, M.L., Pusceddu, A., Danovaro, R., 2002. Assessing the trophic state](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref28) [and eutrophication of coastal marine systems: a new approach based on the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref28) [biochemical composition of sediment organic matter. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 44 \(7\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref28) 611–[622](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref28).
- Dol�[edec, S., Chessel, D., Ter Braak, C.J.F., Champely, S., 1996. Matching species traits to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref29) [environmental variables: a new three-table ordination method. Environ. Ecol. Stat. 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref29) [\(2\), 143](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref29)–166.
- [Dray, S., Dufour, A.B., 2007. The ade4 package: implementing the duality diagram for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref30) [ecologists. J. Stat. Softw. 22 \(4\), 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref30)–20.
- [Dıaz, S., Cabido, M., 2001. Vive la difference: plant functional diversity matters to](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref31) [ecosystem processes. Trends Ecol. Evol. 16, 646](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref31)–655.
- [Egres, A.G., Hatje, V., Miranda, D.A., Gallucci, F., Barros, F., 2019. Functional response](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref32) [of tropical estuarine benthic assemblages to perturbation by Polycyclic Aromatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref32) [Hydrocarbons. Ecol. Indicat. 96, 229](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref32)–240.
- [Engelhardt, K.A., 2006. Relating effect and response traits in submersed aquatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref33) [macrophytes. Ecol. Appl. 16 \(5\), 1808](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref33)–1820.
- [Fichez, R., 1991. Composition and fate of organic matter in submarine cave sediments;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref34) [implications for the geochemical cycle of organic carbon. Oceanol. Acta 14,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref34) 369–[377](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref34).
- [Forrest, B.M., Paul, A.G., Chris, D.C., Karyne, M.R., 2007. Multiple indicators reveal river](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref35) [plume influence on sediments and benthos in a New Zealand coastal embayment.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref35) [N. Z. J. Mar. Freshw. Res. 41, 13](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref35)–24.
- Frignani, M., Langone, L., Ravaioli, M., Sorgente, D., Alvisi, F., Albertazzi, S., 2005. Finesediment mass balance in the Western Adriatic continental shelf over a century time scale. Mar. Geol. 222–223, 113–133. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2005.06.016) [margeo.2005.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2005.06.016).
- [Giangrande, A., 1997. Polychaete reproductive patterns, life cycles and life histories, an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref37) [overview. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. 35, 323](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref37)–386.
- [Giani, M., Berto, D., Rampazzo, F., Savelli, F., Alvisi, F., Giordano, P., et al., 2009. Origin](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref38) [of sedimentary organic matter in the north-western Adriatic Sea. Estuar. Coast Shelf](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref38) [Sci. 84 \(4\), 573](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref38)–583.
- [Giani, M., Djakovac, T., Degobbis, D., Cozzi, S., Solidoro, C., Fonda Umani, S., 2012.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref39) [Recent changes in the marine ecosystems of the northern Adriatic Sea. Estuar. Coast](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref39) [Shelf Sci. 115, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref39)–13.
- [Gladstone-Gallagher, R.V., Pilditch, C.A., Stephenson, F., Thrush, S.F., 2019. Linking](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref40) [traits across ecological scales determines functional resilience. Trends Ecol. Evol. 34](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref40) [\(12\), 1080](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref40)–1091.
- [Gogina, M., Darr, A., Zettler, M.L., 2014. Approach to assess consequences of hypoxia](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref41) [disturbance events for benthic ecosystem functioning. J. Mar. Syst. 129, 203](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref41)–213.
- Gogina, M., Morys, C., Forster, S., Gräwe, U., Friedland, R., Zettler, M.L., 2017. Towards [benthic ecosystem functioning maps: quantifying bioturbation potential in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref42) [German part of the Baltic Sea. Ecol. Indicat. 73, 574](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref42)–588.
- [Gray, J.S., Elliott, M., 2009. Ecology of Marine Sediments: from Science to Management,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref43) [second ed. Oxford University Press, New York, p. 243](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref43).
- [Grime, J.P., 1997. Biodiversity and ecosystem function: the debate deepens. Science 277](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref44) [\(5330\), 1260](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref44)–1261.
- [Gusmao, J.B., Brauko, K.M., Eriksson, B.K., Lana, P.C., 2016. Functional diversity of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref45) [macrobenthic assemblages decreases in response to sewage discharges. Ecol. Indicat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref45) [66, 65](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref45)–75.
- [Hale, R., Mavrogordato, M.N., Tolhurst, T.J., Solan, M., 2014. Characterizations of how](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref46) [species mediate ecosystem properties require more comprehensive functional effect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref46) [descriptors. Sci. Rep. 4, 6463](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref46).

[Hartree, E.F., 1972. Determination of proteins: a modification of the Lowry method that](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref47) [give a linear photometric response. Anal. Biochem. 48, 422](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref47)–427.

[Herman, P.M.J., Middelburg, J.J., Van de Koppel, J., Heip, C.H.R., 1999. Ecology of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref48) [estuarine macrobenthos. Adv. Ecol. Res. 29, 195](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref48)–240.

- [Hermand, R., Salen-Picard, C., Alliot, E., Degiovanni, C., 2008. Macrofaunal density,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref49) [biomass and composition of estuarine sediments and their relationship to the river](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref49) [plume of the Rhone River \(NW Mediterranean\). Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 79, 367](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref49)–376.
- [Hooper, D.U., Chapin, F.S., Ewel, J.J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., et al., 2005.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref50) [Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref50) [Ecol. Monogr. 75 \(1\), 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref50)–35.
- Hussin, W.R.W., Cooper, K.M., Froján, C.R.B., Defew, E.C., Paterson, D.M., 2012. Impacts [of physical disturbance on the recovery of a macrofaunal community: a comparative](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref51) [analysis using traditional and novel approaches. Ecol. Indicat. 12 \(1\), 37](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref51)–45.
- [Jumars, P.A., Dorgan, K.M., Lindsay, S.M., 2015. Diet of worms emended: an update of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref52) [polychaete feeding guilds. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7, 497](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref52)–520.
- [Kennish, M.J., Brush, M.J., Moore, K.A., 2014. Drivers of change in shallow coastal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref53) [photic systems: an introduction to a special issue. Estuar. Coasts 37 \(1\), 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref53)–19.
- [Kourafalou, V.H., 1999. Process studies on the Po river plume, north Adriatic Sea.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref54) [J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 104 \(C12\), 29963](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref54)–29985.
- [Kristensen, E., Penha-Lopes, G., Delefosse, M., Valdemarsen, T., Quintana, C.O., Banta, G.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref55) [T., 2012. What is bioturbation? The need for a precise definition for fauna in aquatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref55) [sciences. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 446, 285](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref55)–302.
- [Krumhansl, K., Jamieson, R., Krkosek, W., 2016. Using species traits to assess human](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref56) [impacts on near shore benthic ecosystems in the Canadian Arctic. Ecol. Indicat. 60,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref56) 495–[502](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref56).
- Lalibert�[e, E., Legendre, P., Shipley, B., Lalibert](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref57)�e, M.E., 2014. Package 'FD'. Measuring [Functional Diversity From Multiple Traits, and Other Tools for Functional Ecology. R](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref57) [package ver. 1.0](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref57)–12.
- [Leung, J.Y., 2015. Habitat heterogeneity affects ecological functions of macrobenthic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref58) [communities in a mangrove: implication for the impact of restoration and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref58) [afforestation. Glob. Ecol. Conserv. 4, 423](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref58)–433.
- [Levin, L.A., Boesch, D.F., Covich, A., Dahm, C., ErsÚus, C., Ewel, K.C., et al., 2001. The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref59) [function of marine critical transition zones and the importance of sediment](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref59) [biodiversity. Ecosystems 4, 430](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref59)–451.
- [Lohrenz, S.E., Dagg, M.J., Whitledge, T.E., 1990. Enhanced primary production at the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref60) [plume/oceanic interface of the Mississippi River. Cont. Shelf Res. 10 \(7\), 639](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref60)–664.
- [Lopes-Rocha, M., Langone, L., Miserocchi, S., Giordano, P., Guerra, R., 2017. Spatial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref61) [patterns and temporal trends of trace metal mass budgets in the western Adriatic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref61) [sediments \(Mediterranean Sea\). Sci. Total Environ. 599, 1022](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref61)–1033.
- [Lopez, G.R., Levinton, J.S., 1987. Ecology of deposit-feeding animals in marine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref62) [sediments. Q. Rev. Biol. 62 \(3\), 235](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref62)–260.
- [Lorenzen, C.J., Jeffrey, S.W., 1980. Determination of chlorophyll in seawater. UNESCO](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref63) [Tech. Pap. Mar. Sci. 35 \(1\), 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref63)–20.
- [Lotze, H.K., Lenihan, H.S., Bourque, B.J., Bradbury, R.H., Cooke, R.G., Kay, M.C., et al.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref64) [2006. Depletion, degradation, and recovery potential of estuaries and coastal seas.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref64) [Science 312 \(5781\), 1806](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref64)–1809.
- [Ludwig, W., Dumont, E., Meybeck, M., Heussner, S., 2009. River discharges of water and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref65) [nutrients to the Mediterranean and Black Sea: major drivers for ecosystem changes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref65) [during past and future decades? Prog. Oceanogr. 80 \(3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref65)–4), 199–217.
- [Maicu, F., De Pascalis, F., Ferrarin, C., Umgiesser, G., 2018. Hydrodynamics of the Po-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref66)[River-Delta-Sea system. J. Geophys. Res.: Oceans 123 \(9\), 6349](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref66)–6372.
- [Marchini, A., Munari, C., Mistri, M., 2008. Functions and ecological status of eight Italian](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref67) [lagoons examined using biological traits analysis \(BTA\). Mar. Pollut. Bull. 56 \(6\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref67) [1076](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref67)–1085.
- [https://www.marlin.ac.uk/biotic](http://www.marlin.ac.uk/biotic).
- [Mason, N.W.H., Mouillot, D., Lee, W.G., Wilson, J.B., 2005. Functional richness,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref68) [functional evenness and functional divergence: the primary components of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref68) [functional diversity. Oikos 111, 112](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref68)–118.
- M�[endez, N., Linke-Gamenick, I.N.E.Z., Forbes, V.E., 2000. Variability in reproductive](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref69) [mode and larval development within the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref69) *Capitella capitata* species complex. [Invertebr. Reprod. Dev. 38, 131](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref69)–142.
- [Miserocchi, S., Langone, L., Tesi, T., 2007. Content and isotopic composition of organic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref70) [carbon within a flood layer in the Po River prodelta \(Adriatic Sea\). Cont. Shelf Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref70) 27 (3–[4\), 338](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref70)–358.
- [Morri, C., Bellan-Santini, D., Giaccone, G., Bianchi, C.N., 2004. Principles of bionomy:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref71) [definition of assemblages and use of taxonomic descriptors \(macrobenthos\). Biol.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref71) [Mar. Mediterr. 11 \(1\), 573](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref71)–600.
- Mouillot, D., Vill�[eger, S., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Mason, N.W., 2011. Functional structure](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref72) [of biological communities predicts ecosystem multifunctionality. PLoS One 6 \(3\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref72) [e17476.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref72)
- [Muscarella, R., Uriarte, M., 2016. Do community-weighted mean functional traits reflect](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref73) [optimal strategies? Proc. Roy. Soc. B 283, 2015-2434.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref73)
- Nasi, F., Nordström, M.C., Bonsdorff, E., Auriemma, R., Cibic, T., Del Negro, P., 2018. [Functional biodiversity of marine soft-sediment polychaetes from two](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref74) [Mediterranean coastal areas in relation to environmental stress. Mar. Environ. Res.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref74)
- [137, 121](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref74)–132. Nasi, F., Ferrante, L., Alvisi, F., Bonsdorff, E., Auriemma, R., Cibic, T., 2020. Macrofaunal
- bioturbation attributes in relation to riverine influence: what can we learn from the Po River lagoonal system (Adriatic Sea)? Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106405) [.1016/j.ecss.2019.106405](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2019.106405).
- [Nieuwenhuize, J., Maas, Y.E.M., Middelburg, J.J., 1994. Rapid analysis of organic carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref76) [and nitrogen in particulate materials. Mar. Chem. 45, 217](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref76)–224.
- N'[Siala, G.M., Grandi, V., Iotti, M., Montanari, G., Prevedelli, D., Simonini, R., 2008.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref77) [Responses of a Northern Adriatic Ampelisca](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref77)–Corbula community to seasonality and [short-term hydrological changes in the Po river. Mar. Environ. Res. 66 \(4\), 466](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref77)–476.
- [Occhipinti-Ambrogi, A., Favruzzo, M., Savini, D., 2002. Multi-annual variations of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref1) [macrobenthos along the emilia-romagna coast \(northern adriatic\). Mar. Ecol. 23,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref1) 307–[319](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref1).
- [Occhipinti-Ambrogi, A., Savini, D., Forni, G., 2005. Macrobenthos community structural](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref78) [changes off Cesenatico coast \(Emilia Romagna, Northern Adriatic\), a six-year](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref78) [monitoring programme. Sci. Total Environ. 353 \(1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref78)–3), 317–328.
- [Olsgard, F., Schaanning, M.T., Widdicombe, S., Kendall, M.A., Austen, M.C., 2008.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref79) [Effects of bottom trawling on ecosystem functioning. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 366](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref79) (1–[2\), 123](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref79)–133.
- [Oug, E., Fleddum, A., Rygg, B., Olsgard, F., 2012. Biological traits analyses in the study of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref80) [pollution gradients and ecological functioning of marine soft bottom species](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref80) [assemblages in a fjord ecosystem. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 432, 94](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref80)–105.
- [Palinkas, C.M., Nittrouer, C.A., 2007. Modern sediment accumulation on the Po shelf,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref81) [Adriatic Sea. Cont. Shelf Res. 27 \(3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref81)–4), 489–505.
- [Pella, E., Colombo, B., 1973. Study of carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen determination by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref82) [combustion-gas chromatography. Microchim. Acta 5, 697](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref82)–719.
- P�er�[es, J.M., Picard, J., 1964. Nouveau Manuel de Bionomie Benthique de la Mer](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/optCos5S2LbBy) Mediterran�[e. Recl. Trav. Stn. Mar. Endoume 31 \(47\), 5](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/optCos5S2LbBy)–137.
- Petchey, O.L., O'[Gorman, E.J., Flynn, D.F., 2009. A functional guide to functional](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref83) [diversity measures. In: Naeem, S., Bunker, D.E., Hector, A., Loreau, M., Perrings, C.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref83) [\(Eds.\), Biodiversity, Ecosystem Functioning, and Human Wellbeing. Oxford](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref83) [University Press, Oxford, pp. 49](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref83)–59.
- Piló, D., Ben-Hamadou, R., Pereira, F., Carriço, A., Pereira, P., Corzo, A., Carvalho, S., [2016. How functional traits of estuarine macrobenthic assemblages respond to metal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref84) [contamination? Ecol. Indicat. 71, 645](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref84)–659.
- Pinedo, S., Sardá, [R., Rey, C., Bhaud, M., 2000. Effect of sediment particle size on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref85) recruitment of *Owenia fusiformis* [in the Bay of Blanes \(NW Mediterranean Sea\): an](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref85) [experimental approach to explain field distribution. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 203,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref85) 205–[213](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref85).
- [Pirazzoli, P.A., Tomasin, A., 2002. Recent evolution of surge-related events in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref86) [northern Adriatic area. J. Coast. Res. 18 \(3\), 537](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref86)–554.
- <http://www.polytraits.lifewatchgreece.eu>.
- Pusceddu, A., Dell'[Anno, A., Fabiano, M., 2000. Organic matter composition in coastal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref87) [sediments at terra nova bay \(ross sea\) during summer 1995. Polar Biol. 23 \(4\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref87) 288–[293](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref87).
- Queirós, [A.M., Birchenough, S.N., Bremner, J., Godbold, J.A., Parker, R.E., Romero-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref88)[Ramirez, A., et al., 2013. A bioturbation classification of European marine infaunal](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref88) [invertebrates. Ecol. Evol. 3, 3958](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref88)–3985.
- Queirós, A.M., Stephens, N., Cook, R., Ravaglioli, C., Nunes, J., Dashfield, S., Harris, C., [Tilstone, G.H., Fishwick, J., Braeckman, U., Somerfield, P.J., Widdicombe, S., 2015.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/optxRUUCdZ2YQ) [Can benthic community structure be used to predict the process of bioturbation in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/optxRUUCdZ2YQ) [real ecosystems? Prog. Oceanogr. 137, 559](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/optxRUUCdZ2YQ)–569.
- Quijón, [P.A., Kelly, M.C., Snelgrove, P.V., 2008. The role of sinking phytodetritus in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref89) [structuring shallow-water benthic communities. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 366 \(1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref89)–2), 134–[145](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref89).
- [Ranta, T., Tesar, D., Kaitala, V., 2002. Environmental Variability and Semelparity vs.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/optS7W2E45wgb) [Iteroparity as Life Histories. J. Theor. Biol. 217, 391](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/optS7W2E45wgb)–396.
- [Readman, J.W., Fillmann, G., Tolosa, I., Bartocci, J., Villeneuve, J.P., Catinni, C., Mee, L.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref90) [D., 2002. Petroleum and PAH contamination of the black sea. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 44](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref90) (1) , 48–62.
- [Reid, D.J., Chiaroni, L.D., Hewitt, J.E., Lohrer, D.M., Matthaei, C.D., Phillips, N.R., et al.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref91) [2011. Sedimentation effects on the benthos of streams and estuaries: a cross](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref91)[ecosystem comparison. Mar. Freshw. Res. 62 \(10\), 1201](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref91)–1213.
- [Rumolo, P., Barra, M., Gherardi, S., Marsella, E., Sprovieri, M., 2011. Stable isotopes and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref92) [C/N ratios in marine sediments as a tool for discriminating anthropogenic impact.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref92) [J. Environ. Monit. 13 \(12\), 3399](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref92)–3408.
- [Salen-Picard, C., Arlhac, D., Alliot, E., 2003. Responses of a Mediterranean soft bottom](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref93) community to short-term (1993–[1996\) hydrological changes in the Rhone river.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref93) [Mar. Environ. Res. 55, 409](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref93)–427.
- [Sigala, K., Reizopoulou, S., Basset, A., Nicolaidou, A., 2012. Functional diversity in three](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref94) [Mediterranean transitional water ecosystems. Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 110, 202](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref94)–209.
- Silva, S., R�[e, A., Pestana, P., Rodrigues, A., Quintino, V., 2004. Sediment disturbance off](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref95) [the Tagus Estuary, Western Portugal: chronic contamination, sewage outfall](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref95) [operation and runoff events. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 49 \(3\), 154](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref95)–162.
- [Simonini, R., Ansaloni, I., Bonvicini Pagliai, A.M., Prevedelli, D., 2004. Organic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref96) [enrichment and structure of the macrozoobenthic community in the northern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref96) [Adriatic Sea in an area facing Adige and Po mouths. ICES \(Int. Counc. Explor. Sea\) J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref96) [Mar. Sci. 61 \(6\), 871](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref96)–881.
- [Snelgrove, P.V., 1998. The biodiversity of macrofaunal organisms in marine sediments.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref97) [Biodivers. Conserv. 7 \(9\), 1123](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref97)–1132.
- [Snelgrove, P.V.R., Austen, M.C., Boucher, G., Heip, C., Hutchings, P.A., King, G.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref98) [Koike, I., Lambshead, P.J.D., Smith, C.R., 2000. Linking biodiversity above and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref98) below the marine sediment–[water interface. Bioscience 50, 1076](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref98)–1088.
- [Solan, M., Cardinale, B.J., Downing, A.L., Engelhardt, K.A., Ruesink, J.L., Srivastava, D.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref99) [S., 2004. Extinction and ecosystem function in the marine benthos. Science 306,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref99) [1177](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref99)–1180.
- [Southwood, T.R., 1977. Habitat, the templet for ecological strategies? J. Anim. Ecol. 46](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref100) [\(2\), 337](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref100)–365.
- [Tesi, T., Miserocchi, T., Goni, M.A., Turchetto, M., Langone, L., Lazzari, D., et al., 2011.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref101) [Influence of distributary channels on sediment and organic matter supply in event](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref101)[dominated coastal margins: the Po prodelta as a study case. Biogeosciences 8 \(2\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref101) [365](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref101).
- [Thrush, S.F., Hewitt, J.E., Cummings, V.J., Ellis, J.I., Hatton, C., Lohrer, A., Norkko, A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref102) [2004. Muddy waters: elevating sediment input to coastal and estuarine habitats.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref102) [Front. Ecol. Environ. 2, 299](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref102)–306.
- Törnroos, A., Bonsdorff, E., 2012. Developing the multitrait concept for functional [diversity: lessons from a system rich in functions but poor in species. Ecol. Appl. 22](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref103) [\(8\), 2221](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref103)–2236.
- [Touzani, A., Giresse, P., 2002. the river prodelta: short-term \(year\) sedimentation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref104) [patterns and human impact. J. Coast. Res. 102](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref104)–117.
- [Trannum, H.C., Olsgard, F., Skei, J.M., Indrehus, J.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref105) Øverås, S., Eriksen, J., 2004. Effects [of copper, cadmium and contaminated harbour sediments on recolonisation of soft](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref105)[bottom communities. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 310 \(1\), 87](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref105)–114.
- [Van der Linden, P., Patrício, J., Marchini, A., Cid, N., Neto, J.M., Marques, J.C., 2012.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref106) [A biological trait approach to assess the functional composition of subtidal benthic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref106) [communities in an estuarine ecosystem. Ecol. Indicat. 20, 121](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref106)–133.
- Viganò, L., Arillo, A., Buffagni, A., Camuso, M., Ciannarella, R., Crosa, G., et al., 2003. [Quality assessment of bed sediments of the River Po \(Italy\). Water Res. 37, 501](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref107)–518.
- Villnäs, A., Hewitt, J., Snickars, M., Westerbom, M., Norkko, A., 2018. Template for [using biological trait groupings when exploring large-scale variation in seafloor](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref108) [multifunctionality. Ecol. Appl. 28 \(1\), 78](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref108)–94.
- [Violle, C., Navas, M.L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., Garnier, E., 2007.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref109) [Let the concept of trait be functional! Oikos 116 \(5\), 882](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref109)–892.
- [Widdicombe, S., Austen, M.C., Kendall, M.A., Olsgard, F., Schaanning, M.T., Dashfield, S.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref110) [L., Needham, H.R., 2004. Importance of bioturbators for biodiversity maintenance:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref110) [indirect effects of fishing disturbance. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 275, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref110)–10.
- [Yao, Q., Wang, X., Jian, H., Chen, H., Yu, Z., 2015. Characterization of the particle size](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref111) [fraction associated with heavy metals in suspended sediments of the Yellow River.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref111) [Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 12 \(6\), 6725](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0272-7714(19)30588-8/sref111)–6744.